CABLE, LANGENBACH, KINERK & BAUER, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SUITE 3500 1000 SECOND AVENUE BUILDING SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-1048

C. JAMES FRUSH

TELEPHONE: (206) 292-8800 TELECOPIER: (206) 292-0494 e-mail: jfrush@cablelang.com

June 15, 2005

Members of the Public Disclosure Commission 711 Capitol Way, Rm. 206 P.O. Box 40908 Olympia, WA 98504-0908

Re:

Hearing on Thursday, June 23, 2005 on the Issue of Referring "TME Capital Group, LLC and its Investors" to the Office of the Attorney General (PDC Case No. 04-445)

Dear Commissioners:

"TME Capital Group, LLC and its investors" (TME) will appear before this Honorable Commission at 4:30 p.m. on Thursday, June 23, 2005 to address the issue of the PDC Staff's recommendation requesting a referral of Case 04-445 to the Attorney General for institution of legal proceedings. TME requests that this matter not be referred to the Attorney General but rather, for the reasons discussed below, be retained at the Commission level and resolved without referral.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Commissioners are receiving the Report of Investigation and Exhibits and a Memorandum from Doug Ellis, Acting Assistant Director, dated June 7, 2005, containing the Executive Summary and Staff Recommendations regarding the 2001 Des Moines City Council Election, including a request for referral. In essence, TME does not believe referral is warranted because referral exposes TME to severe and unwarranted sanctions, inappropriate for a case where if violations did occur, they were unintentional and de minimus. In addition, the Staff's report relies on both fundamental misunderstandings of the nature of the TME entity and its relationship with other individuals and entities outside of TME. Lastly, the issues which will require resolution by any adjudication relate to application of PDC statutes and regulations to facts which are not essentially in dispute, a task better performed by this Commission and not a Superior Court, both because of this Commission's experience and because the precedents will guide this Commission in the future.

¹ The "investors" are actually "members" of the Limited Liability Company.

II. ANY REFERRAL AT THIS TIME IS SEVERE AND UNWARRANTED

RCW 42.17.395 provides that "In lieu of holding a hearing...the Commission may refer the matter to the Attorney General or other enforcement agency as provided in RCW 42.17.360." The statute provides no criteria to determine whether to refer a case.

If this Commission retains the matter, the maximum penalty for each violator is capped at \$2,500. If the matter is referred to the Attorney General, the potential remedies and sanctions are greatly increased. Upon referral, RCW 42.17.390 provides that any person who violates the Chapter may be subject to a civil penalty of \$10,000 for each violation. Moreover, if RCW 42.17.640 relating to limits on contributions is violated, each person may be subject to a civil penalty of \$10,000 or three times the amount of the contribution illegally made or accepted, whichever is greater. Any person who fails to report a contribution or expenditure may be subject to a civil penalty equivalent to the amount not reported. Lastly, upon referral, pursuant to RCW 42.17.400, the court may award to the State all costs of investigation and trial, including reasonable attorney's fees, and if the violation is found to have been intentional, the amount of the judgment, which shall for this purpose include the costs, may be trebled as punitive damages.

As is obvious, if alleged violations concerning TME are referred, potential fines could run to hundreds of thousands of dollars with the substantial addition of costs and attorney's fees after protracted litigation in Superior Court.

A referral by this Commission would give the PDC Staff and Attorney General exceedingly powerful leverage to exact a settlement even though no wrongdoing took place. Faced with attempting to vindicate its rights through protracted Superior Court litigation subject to the civil rules, with the specter of hundreds and hundreds of thousands of dollars in fines, penalties, fees and costs, perhaps trebled, even the most innocent might easily succumb to a negotiated resolution.

It is critical in determining this issue of referral that the Commission should appreciate that any decision it makes at this point is without prejudice to change its mind. If, either before or even after hearing this matter on the merits, this Commission feels that the penalties it can render are too slight for the character of the particular violations sustained, it can refer the matter at that time to the Attorney General. Referring the matter now, before TME has really been given an opportunity to be heard on the merits and upon facts which TME believes are fundamentally misunderstood and misstated by the Staff, is irreparably to harm TME. TME cannot later somehow apply for referral of the matter back to the Commission. However, if the Commission at any point feels that referral is appropriate, it may so act. But that intelligent decision can only be made after TME has had an opportunity to correct and respond to the substance of the Staff recommendations and report.

III. THE STAFF FUNDAMENTALLY MISUNDERSTANDS THE NATURE OF THE ENTITIES INVOLVED AND THE TRANSACTIONS WHICH OCCURRED

Legal misunderstandings in the Staff's report are numerous. For example, TME is a limited liability corporation. It executed a loan agreement with another company, EMT, associated with Hank

Members of the Public Disclosure Commission June 15, 2005 Page 3

Hopkins, under which millions of dollars were advanced on the SeaTac project. To suggest that it was a political committee formed for the purposes of engaging in political activity is unwarranted on the facts and unjustified in law. To suggest that, as one of the violations does, that TME's internal accounting about capital calls somehow constitutes reimbursement for contributions suggests that shareholders, sureties, and banks, in working with lawfully and duly organized business entities, are somehow at risk if the entity may later make a contribution.

Factual inaccuracies in the Staff's report abound. To name simply one, the "anonymous cashier's checks" were not issued by TME but were issued by EMT. TME had nothing whatsoever to do with the determining and deciding the form in which they would be issued. Further, the allegation that "no evidence was found that TME sought expert legal advice on reporting requirements," is absolutely untrue as Tom Hujar, an attorney and the experienced political consultant involved, was the very person brought on board to ensure that everything was accomplished in compliance with PDC statutes and regulations. Oddly, Mr. Hujar is the only individual who has come near any of this who hasn't been charged. While this does not pretend to be a presentation on the merits, Mr. Hujar was interviewed three times and presented an affidavit. The last interview of Mr. Hujar is attached to this submission. It is urged that the Commissioners review Mr. Hujar's testimony to see that not only are matters not as alleged by the Staff in its report but that TME is blameless.

Lastly, two procedural matters should be noted. First, Mr. Hopkins has already been referred to the Attorney General on March 25, 2003. It is both unnecessary and inappropriate to suggest that this Commission must act again to accomplish that referral. Secondly, one must ask why the Attorney General has not instituted legal proceedings against Mr. Hopkins and EMT in the over two years since this matter was referred. Why now, nearly four years after the election, and over two years after the referral of Mr. Hopkins on March 25, 2003, is this matter now finally being brought before this Honorable Commission.

IV. THIS COMMISSION IS THE BEST INFORMED AND MOST SUITABLE FORUM IN WHICH TO RESOLVE THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE

Superior Court Judges generally give deference to findings and determinations made by administrative bodies. This deference is afforded because the regulatory bodies charged with enforcing the law work with it on a consistent and regular basis. It is this Commission which has the most experience in dealing with the types of issues present in this matter. Further, it is this Commission which will by necessity work with the decisions made in this matter. As evidenced by the discussion above, certain fundamental issues relating to corporate governance, definition of political committees, and alike are raised by this matter. Is it not better for this Commission to decide these issues in a fashion in which it can apply them consistently in the future? It should be remembered that this Commission can always later refer this matter to the Attorney General.

V. CONCLUSION

It is currently inappropriate and unfair to refer this matter on the record currently before the Commission. Referral would expose TME and its investors to severe and unwarranted sanctions if it attempted to enforce and vindicate its rights after referral. It is respectfully suggested that only after a

Members of the Public Disclosure Commission June 15, 2005 Page 4

meaningful opportunity to be heard on the merits of this matter can an intelligent decision be made on the issue of referral of TME. Mr. Hopkins and EMT are already referred. The Commission need not revisit that issue. After proceeding further with TME, this Commission can make a decision whether to refer this matter or, as is anticipated by TME, to dismiss it in its entirety.

Thanks very much for your consideration.

Yours very truly,

W. James Frush

Counsel for TME Capital Group, LLC

and its partners

CJF:kt Enclosure

March 24, 2003

```
1
                BEFORE THE PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION
 2
                      OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
 3
     IN THE MATTER OF THE
     ENFORCEMENT HEARING FOR
                                    ) PDC CASE NO. 02-296
 5
                                        PDC CASE NO. 03-153
     DON WASSON
     AND
 6
     HANK HOPKINS
 7
     ENVIRONMENTAL MATERIALS
       TRANSPORT, LLC,
 8
                    Respondents.
 9
10
                     DEPOSITION OF THOMAS F. HUJAR
11
                         Monday, March 24, 2003
12
13
14
                               APPEARANCES
15
    For Public Disclosure
                               LINDA A. DALTON
16
     Commission:
                               Senior Assistant Attorney General
17
                               Office of the Attorney General
                               1125 Washington Street Southeast
18
                               P.O. Box 40100
                               Olympia, Washington 98504-0100
19
                               SUSAN HARRIS
20
                               Assistant Director
                               Public Disclosure Commission
21
                               711 Capitol Way, Room 206
22
                               P.O. Box 40908
                               Olympia, Washington 98504-0908
23
     ///
24
     Pamela J. Bentley, CCR
     License No. BENTLPJD36PF
25
```

		Pag	ge 2
1		APPEARANCES - CONTINUED	
2			
3	For Hopkins and	CYRUS R. VANCE, JR.	
	Environmental	Law Offices of	
4	Materials	McNaul, Ebel, Nawrot, Helgren	
	Transport, LLC:	& Vance, PLLC	
5		600 University Street, Suite 2700	
		Seattle, Washington 98101-3143	
6			
7		C. JAMES FRUSH	
		Gordon, Thomas, Honeywell, Malanca,	
8		Peterson & Daheim, PLLC	
		600 University, Suite 2100	
9		Seattle, Washington 98101	
10			
	Also Present:	JOHN WOLFE (Telephonically)	
11		(Mr. Wolfe made a brief appearance.)	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		Page 3
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2		
3		PAGE/LINE
4	THOMAS F. HUJAR	
5	Examination by MS. DALTON	5 - 12
6	Examination by MR. VANCE	84 - 20
7	Further Examination by MS. DALTON	86 - 1
8		
9		
10	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
11		
12	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE/LINE
13		
	None	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 4 BE IT REMEMBERED that the deposition upon oral of 1 2 THOMAS F. HUJAR was taken on Monday, March 24, 2003, at 3 711 Capitol Way, Room 206, Olympia, Washington, before Pamela J. Bentley, Notary Public in and for the State of 4 5 Washington. (Mr. Wolfe is appearing by telephone.) 8 MS. DALTON: This is the deposition for Tom Hujar. If everybody might not mind going around the table -- and 10 identifying them for the record -- themselves for the 11 12 record. 13 THE REPORTER: Mr. Wolfe, my name is Pam Bentley, 14 and I'm the court reporter. 15 MR. WOLFE: Okay. 16 THE WITNESS: My name is Tom Hujar. 17 MR. VANCE: Cy Vance and Jim Frush for EMT and 18 Hank Hopkins. Good morning, John. 19 MR. WOLFE: Cyrus. 20 MS. HARRIS: Susan Harris. 21 MS. DALTON: And I'm Linda Dalton. I understand, 22 from our conversation this morning, John, that while you are 23 representing Mr. Wasson, you were choosing not to 24 participate in this deposition. 25 MR. WOLFE: That's correct.

```
Page 5
 1
                     MS. DALTON: Any questions?
 2
                               (No response.)
                     MS. DALTON: Okay. Thanks, John.
 3
                     MR. WOLFE: You bet.
 4
 5
                     MS. DALTON: Bye.
                     MR. WOLFE: Bye-bye.
 6
 7
                               (Mr. Wolfe is no longer present.)
                     THOMAS F. HUJAR, having been first duly sworn upon
 9
          oath by the notary, testified as follows:
10
11
12
                                 EXAMINATION
     BY MS. DALTON:
13
          Will you please state your full name for the record,
14
          spelling your last name?
15
16
          My name is Thomas Frances Hujar, H-u-j-a-r.
          And a mailing address for the record?
17
18
     Α
          My mailing address is 8440 Northeast Gordon Drive,
          Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110.
19
20
     Q
          Have you ever had your deposition taken before?
21
     Α
          Yes.
22
          How many times?
     0
23
          Too many to count.
     Α
24
          Okay. What kinds of cases?
     Q
25
          Civil -- mostly, civil cases. There have been some -- I
```

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

- think there has been one or two criminal cases.
- 2 Q Ever in a Public Disclosure Commission political campaign
- 3 case?
- 4 A For the State of Washington, yes.
- 5 Q What was the name of that case?
- 6 A It was Washington Friends for -- or Bainbridge Island
- 7 Parents for Better Parks.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A And I was also deposed in regards to the Governor's race
- and -- for Governor Locke in 1992. And if my memory serves
- me correct, I was interviewed a number of times for Mike
- Hevey's (phonetically) Senate or County Council race. There
- may be others.
- 14 Q Okay. All lawyers do depositions just a little different,
- so I'll just give you my standard spiel.
- 16 I'm going to be just asking you a series of guestions,
- most of them to clarify previous statements that you've
- provided in the cases against Don Wasson and Hank Hopkins
- 19 and Environmental Materials Transport.
- 20 If, during the course of my questioning, as can be the
- case, you don't understand a question I've asked you, please
- 22 stop me, ask me to, you know, clarify whatever it is, the
- confusion you have -- would be, and then we'll go for it.
- I'm going to assume that once I've asked a question and
- 25 you've answered, that you understood the question and gave

- the fullest of your recall of whatever the answer would be.
- 2 If, during the course of our time here, you need to
- 3 take a break, feel free to just let me know, and we'll do
- 4 that.
- 5 Are you represented by counsel at this time?
- 6 A I'm an attorney by education, and I -- I'm representing
- 7 myself.
- 8 Q Okay. Okay. As far as the purpose of this deposition, like
- 9 I said, you've given -- what I can account for is three
- 10 different statements with respect to the claims against
- Mr. Wasson and Mr. Hopkins; is that correct?
- 12 A I've also been interviewed a number of times by the Public
- 13 Disclosure staff --
- 14 Q I was counting --
- 15 A -- over the telephone.
- 16 Q Yeah. I was counting -- oh, in addition to the taped
- 17 statements they took?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Do you know how many times?
- 22 A At least -- I count five times in all.
- 23 Q Okay. Okay. Can you tell me what you did in preparation
- for today, if anything?
- 25 A Well, I actually have some clarifications that I wanted to

- 1 make for my August 28th, 2002 statement, if I could do that
- 2 first.
- 3 Q Oh, what kinds of clarifications are you talking about?
- 4 A Well, I'd like to go page by page, if I can, and make
- 5 clarifications. I think that may make it easier for
- 6 everybody involved.
- 7 Q Okay. I see you have them written out there --
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q -- what you're -- Okay.
- MS. DALTON: Do you guys have a copy of the
- 11 statement?
- MR. VANCE: I have a copy of the August 28th, 2002
- statement -- or -- that has been provided to me --
- MS. DALTON: Okay.
- MR. VANCE: -- by the PDC.
- 16 Q And that's the statement you're referring to?
- 17 A That's what I'm referring to. I made clarifications for the
- June 26 statement --
- 19 Q Right.
- 20 A -- and I thought it might be beneficial to clear some things
- 21 up by doing the same thing this time. It's really up to
- you, however you want to --
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A -- handle the interview.
- 25 Q Why don't we go -- before we do that -- and I think I'm

- going to go ahead and let you do that -- can you tell me
- what you did in preparation for today's deposition --
- 3 A I read --
- 4 Q -- if anything?
- 5 A I read my prior statements, and I went through some of the
- 6 statements that were made by Mr. Wasson and by Mr. Benjamin.
- 7 Q Mr. Wasson and Mr. Benjamin?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q How did you get those statements? I mean, what statements
- 10 are you referring to?
- 11 A I got -- I have some legal -- some statements from them that
- I received from Mr. Hopkins' attorney.
- 13 Q Okay. And since he has two here, which one did you receive
- 14 them from?
- 15 A From -- I believe it was from Mr. Vance.
- 16 Q Okay. Anybody else's statement that you reviewed prior to
- 17 today's deposition?
- 18 A The only -- Mr. Wasson and Mr. Benjamin is the only ones.
- 19 Q Okay. And you didn't review any of Mr. Hopkins' statements?
- 20 A I have read Mr. Hopkins', but not in preparation for this
- 21 hearing today.
- 22 Q Okay. And did you speak with anybody before today's
- deposition in preparation for this deposition?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Okay.

- 1 A I mean, no one who is associated with this case.
- 2 Q Okay. Thank you. Okay. Let's just start at the top of
- 3 what your clarifications are.
- 4 A Number one, throughout the interview we discussed the issue
- of \$20,000 worth of services. Although I stated on page 5
- and page 7 that I received \$19,800 for the work that I did
- on the campaign, Ms. Parker and myself started to say
- \$ \$20,000. And throughout the interview, we continually refer
- 9 to the amount of money that was spent on the campaigns as
- 10 \$20,000, just because it was easier to say it. The fact
- is -- is that we both were talking about \$19,800 and not
- 12 \$20,000.
- 13 Q Okay. So you were talking about the amount -- the total
- amount of money that you received from Mr. Hopkins as --
- 15 A For the work, was for \$19,800, but just as -- if -- on
- page 5 and on page 7, I clearly stated that it was \$19,800,
- 17 but as time went on, Ms. Parker and I both started using
- 18 \$20,000 because it was easier to say. But I think that's an
- important, relevant point that it was not \$20,000. It was
- always \$19,800.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A Number two, on page 6 and 7, I discuss the issues of \$850 in
- postage stamps. At the time, I was asked who the stamps
- 24 were for, and I was unable to answer the question. In
- further -- in looking back, I now remember that the \$850

Page 11

1 worth of stamps were for Richard Benjamin for a mailing that

- 2 he was about to do.
- 3 Q Okay. And what triggered your memory of that?
- 4 A In reading his testimony.
- 5 Q Okay. Okay.
- 6 A Number three, on page 10, I stated that I only met with
- Richard Benjamin two to three times. While this is correct,
- 8 I did speak with Richard nearly every day, and I know that
- 9 Mr. Snyder met with him quite regularly.
- In fact, when the campaign -- the point that I'd like
- 11 to make here is that I spent a significant amount of time
- and effort on Mr. Benjamin's campaign. His testimony would
- lead one to believe that he rarely met with me or that I was
- hardly involved in his campaign. In fact, I was so involved
- in his campaign that when the campaign went into the
- absentees and the race was in jeopardy, Mr. Benjamin pleaded
- 17 with me to hire Mr. Snyder to do poll watching for him,
- 18 which I did.
- 19 Q Okay. And that poll watching was reflected in your previous
- 20 statement that you --
- 21 A Yes. Yes.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A On page 16, I was asked the question of whether or not I
- 24 went over the survey with Hank Hopkins. Although my answer
- was correct, in rereading my deposition, I think I made it

appear that Hank Hopkins went over the survey with me word 1 for word. In fact, Mr. Hopkins, I considered to be a neophyte when it came to politics and polling, and his 3 review of the survey was at my insistence. If I left the 5 impression that Mr. Hopkins went over the survey and made revisions to it, that would be incorrect. 6 7 So you're saying now that he didn't change any of the 0 questions or add questions? 8 No, he did not. And I never said that he added or changed 9 Α any part of the survey, but I think that I left the 10 impression, in going over my survey, that he and I carefully 11 reviewed it word for word. The reality is that he really 12 13 didn't even want to look at the survey. It was at my insistence that he look at the survey because I refused to 14 go out in the field with the survey without my client's 15 16 approval. Okay. So to the extent -- let me just clarify here for the 17 record that you had stated that part of the reason they 18 19 wanted the survey was to analyze the passibility [sic] for 20 some of these races being won by one candidate or -- and 21 that -- that still is an accurate statement on your part? Let me clarify. The survey that was done by the Wescott 22 Α Company was a survey that they were planning on doing before 23 24 they ever met me. And the issue of the survey -- the market 25 research survey that they were going to do -- came up by

Page 13 happenstance. They had showed me a copy of the survey that 1 Bob Gogerty and his company had done on behalf of Wescott Company a number of years ago, and they had decided that, 3 for whatever reason, they were going out in the field with 4 another survey to test the same issues that they had tested prior, and it was with my recommendation -- that I said: 6 you're going out in the field anyway, why don't you add on 7 four questions -- horses race questions? It won't cost you 9 any more if you did that. That's the way that the survey -- the discussion of the 10 market survey came about, and that's the way that the four 11 12 questions were added onto the survey. 13 Okay. So when you say in here: I gave him a copy of the survey. He read it, and he went over the whole thing --14 15 what did you mean by that? 16 MR. VANCE: Could you just, Ms. Dalton, refer 17 Mr. Hujar to the page so that he knows exactly where you're quoting from? 18 MS. DALTON: I'm just quoting from the page he 19 20 quoted, page 16. 21 MR. VANCE: Page 16? Thank you. 22 MS. DALTON: And if I change pages on you, I will 23 let you know that, but we can assume for purposes of the 24 deposition that whatever page Mr. Hujar is referring to is

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

the page that I will refer to.

25

- 1 A I'm sorry. Could you repeat your question?
- 2 Q In about the middle of the --
- 3 A Right.
- 4 Q -- page, there's your answer -- said -- so he asked you
- specifically, oh, absolutely, and I gave him a copy of this
- 6 survey, and he read it, and he went over the whole thing.
- 7 A That's right. We're now hopping to -- what I was discussing
- 8 was, first of all, the discussion about doing a survey and
- 9 what was going into the survey. You've now leapfrogged into
- when they had hired me to do the survey, and then the first
- or second draft of the questions that I provided and that I
- 12 gave to them.
- 13 Q Okay. So when you're talking about -- I guess, then, you
- need to clarify for the record exactly what period of time
- you were talking about that he just wasn't -- he didn't
- 16 review it as closely as you seem to think --
- 17 A Mr. Hopkins --
- 18 O -- it left the impression.
- 19 A -- never wanted to look at the survey. He never -- he was a
- neophyte, and he basically said: You do whatever you want.
- 21 And I said: Hank, I never do a survey without my client
- looking at it. And I sat down, and he may have asked me
- 23 some specific questions about the survey, but I don't really
- think we went into that much discussion.
- 25 The fact is -- is that the four lengthiest questions --

800-507-8273

Page 15 the four or five lengthiest questions that were put in the 1 survey were exact duplicates of questions that were from the Gogerty poll three or four years ago. So there really 3 wasn't a lot of reasons why we should have discussed it. did explain to him the reasons why I was using the same 5 language that we're using from the Gogerty poll. 6 But, you know, I don't want to leave the impression 7 that Hank Hopkins went word for word and looked at it. I 8 forced him to look at it because I didn't want to go out in 9 the field without his approval, but he did not thoroughly go 10 over the survey at all. I'm sure that he read it, but his 11 12 questions were minimal in comparison to what most of my political clients would have asked me to do. 13 14 Okay. So when you say in your statement: I gave him a copy of the survey, and he read it. He went over the whole 15 thing -- is that the survey once you'd made your additions, 16 or was that the Gogerty survey? 17 This was a survey that I had. After they had hired me, 18 Α I put together a sample of questions, and it was 14, 15, 19 maybe 20 questions. And before I went out in the field with 20 21 the survey, I gave him a copy of the questionnaire, and I 22 said: I need you to read this before we go out in the field. 23

Okay. Thank you. Next page?

24

25

0

Α

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

On page 18 -- Okay. On page 18, I discuss the issue of the

Page 16

market research survey that was done on behalf of the
Wescott Company. I may have left the impression that
Don Wasson knew the results of the survey. Nothing more
could be of the truth [sic]. Mr. Wasson did not take part
in the development of the survey, nor did he ever learn of
the results of the survey from me.

The point that I made on page 18 was that when I was discussing the issue of PDC regulations with Mr. Wasson and Mr. Hopkins, I made it clear to both of them that if I did the survey, none of the information could be leaked or used by any of the candidates.

12 Q So this -- the clarification you're making is that this
13 discussion you had with Mr. Hopkins and Mr. Wasson was done
14 post-, or prehire by Mr. Hopkins? He hadn't hired you yet
15 to do the survey, or are you saying -- I mean, I'm trying to
16 find a time period --

We were -- we were having -- there were -- my recollection and, I think, my testimony is that we had two meetings -- Don Wasson and I had two meetings with Hank Hopkins. The first meeting that I had was to discuss money. I was there to help Don to try and see if we could get a financial commitment from -- from Hank Hopkins.

The second -- and that's where the discussion of the polling and everything came up. The second meeting that I had with Mr. Wasson and Mr. Hopkins happened less than a

Α

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Page 17

week later. And at that point in time, Hank said he -Mr. Hopkins had made the decision that they would probably
go ahead with the survey and with contributions to the
campaigns, although he was not willing to make a commitment
at that time.

And during that discussion, we had -- we had -- I wouldn't say a lengthy discussion, but we did have a discussion, as I've testified, about the PDC regulations, and if he made a contribution, how that money should be contributed. We specifically had a discussion, as I've testified before, about whether or not the effort should be through an independent campaign committee or whether the contributions should be given directly to the candidates. And when you refer to: They had made a decision to go

- forward with the survey and the contributions -- who were
- 17 A That was --
- 18 Q -- referring to?
- That was the Wescott Company, and that's why I'm making a clarification here -- is that I do not want to leave the impression that Don Wasson had any influence whatsoever over whether the survey was going to be done, what the content -- what would be the contents of the survey, or whether he would ever see the results of the survey.
- 25 I -- as far as I was concerned, these were two

- individual clients that I had. I had a client who was
- 2 hiring me to do market research and polling, and then I had
- another client who was hiring me to win some campaigns, but
- 4 I treated them separately.
- 5 And at no time did I ever share any information of that
- 6 survey with anyone in Des Moines, including Mr. Wasson.
- 7 Q And so it was shared only with the members of the Wescott
- 8 Company?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 Q Okay. Next page?
- 11 A On page 23 and 27, our discussion was centered on my
- discussions with Don Watson [sic] and Hank Hopkins relating
- to the PDC reporting requirements. I never mentioned the
- 14 fact that I told Hank Hopkins that the only time he would be
- 15 required to report his contributions would be if he
- 16 contributed \$5,000 or more to a candidate within a 20-day
- time period. I never thought to offer this information, and
- 18 the PDC never asked me about it. When I read about the
- charges being filed by the PDC against Mr. Hopkins, I wrote
- an affidavit explaining that the reason why Mr. Hopkins was
- 21 asked to specifically donate \$19,800 was for this very
- 22 reason.
- 23 Q Okay. And this is on page 23 and 27?
- 24 A I -- it's 23 through 27. We had this long discussion.
- 25 Q Oh, through 27?

- 1 A We had this very long discussion about what our discussion
- was regarding the Public Disclosure Commission.
- 3 Q Okay. And I have some specific questions I'll be asking you
- about that, so -- as we go, so why don't you just go ahead?
- 5 A Okay. I only have, I think, two more.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A On page 29, the question was how many candidates was I hired
- 8 to assist. I think I made it clear in my first interview,
- 9 but I may have made it unclear in the second one. When Don
- 10 Wasson initially hired me, he told me that he had four
- candidates that needed help and that he needed to win three
- of the four. When we approached Mr. Hopkins, it was with
- the expectation that we needed financial support for four
- candidates; however, as time went on, that number went down
- to two candidates, and then three.
- 16 Q Let me ask you a question about that. At the time that you
- 17 went and talked to Mr. Hopkins, you had already met with
- 18 several of the candidates; is that correct?
- 19 A I -- Yeah. I had -- well, the first time I met with Hank
- 20 Hopkins I had not met with any of the candidates. I don't
- 21 think I had met with any of the candidates. In fact, I'm
- sure that's true, because when Don Wasson started asking --
- 23 telling Hank Hopkins how he wanted to spend the money, I
- 24 specifically told them that we couldn't make that decision
- until I met with the candidates.

- 1 Q Okay. Because, quite honestly, as I recall, reading through
- 2 your statements -- was that you originally had met Mr. --
- met with Mr. Wasson. You did an assessment after speaking
- 4 with several of these people -- because, I believe, at least
- one of them wasn't available -- and then you went back to
- 6 Mr. Wasson, saying -- with your assessment, including the
- fact that you needed more money -- more money was needed to
- 8 really assist these people in winning.
- 9 A That was --
- 10 MR. VANCE: Object to the form of the question.
- 11 Excuse me.
- 12 Q Go ahead. From time to time, they may make objections, you
- 13 know --
- 14 A That's okay.
- 15 Q -- so -- but go ahead.
- 16 A Again, you know, we had two meetings. And the first meeting
- that I -- that we had with Hank Hopkins, I had not met -- I
- think it was a Wednesday or a Thursday that I had met with
- 19 Hank Hopkins with Don Wasson, and I'm sure that we did
- 20 not -- I had not met with the candidates at that point. It
- 21 was that weekend I met with the candidates, and then a few
- 22 days later we met with Hank Hopkins again.
- 23 So you are correct. I did meet with the candidates
- 24 before the second meeting, but not before the first meeting
- 25 that we had.

- 1 Q Okay. So what prompted the first meeting between you and
- 2 Mr. Wasson and Mr. Hopkins?
- 3 A I told Mr. Wasson that I could not quarantee that he could
- 4 win three of the four races with the limited amount of
- 5 resources that he was planning on putting into the campaign.
- 6 Q And what --
- 7 A That he needed -- that if he was going to win, he needed to
- 8 raise a significant amount of money, and that I would not be
- 9 able to know how much that amount of money was until I met
- 10 with the candidates.
- And we had this discussion about where the money could
- come from. I specifically remember he told me that there
- was this Marine Association that was planning on giving
- him -- that had pledged some significant amount of money to
- him, and he talked about raising money from people in the
- 16 Chamber of Commerces, and then he had mentioned the Wescott
- 17 Company.
- 18 And it was then that I started saying: Let's start
- 19 setting up meetings with these people and see how much money
- 20 you're actually talking about before I can give you a
- specific assessment of how much money it's going to cost.
- 22 Q What did you base your original assessment that -- in that
- discussion with him, that you needed a significant amount of
- 24 money to raise to win these races?
- 25 A You had three challengers or four challengers going up

against three incumbents and one open seat. You know, if 1 you look at any of the Public Disclosure reports, that 3 challengers have a very difficult time winning elections if they are out-spent by any significant amount of money. fact, normally, you cannot beat an incumbent unless you're equal or have more money than they are -- than they have. 6 Had you done any assessment of -- at that point, of what the 7 incumbents had for purposes of their PDC reports, or were 9 you just basing it on your --It was --10 Α -- experience? 11 It was all based on the discussion that I had down -- with 12 Don Wasson. He had done a review with me about how 13 14 campaigns had been run in prior years. He had spoken to me about the fact that he was always on -- losing one to six 15 16 votes on the council, and that the ACC and other organizations had contributed heavily to the incumbents' 17 18 campaigns. 19 So given the information that he had provided to me --20 he had also told me that the ACC had sponsored and paid for paid phone banks and a variety of other things, and it was 21 22 clear to me that he had to raise more than two to \$3,000 in order to win these races. 23 24 At that time, did he tell you how much money he actually had 25 available to contribute to those races?

He said that he was going to make personal contributions of 1 Α 2 his own to the campaigns, but he also had told me that this was kind of his last hoorah, that either he was going to 3 take over the majority and fulfill his lifelong dream of 4 being the mayor of the city, or else he would never achieve that goal. So he was going to work as hard as he could and 6 that he would, basically, follow whatever direction I gave 7 to him in order to accomplish that goal. 8 At that time, did he indicate to you that he had already 9 received some money from Mr. Hopkins? 10 That was a complete surprise to me. 11 Α No. Other pages you have? 12 I just have one last one. On page 39, I said that it was my 13 Α impression that Hank Hopkins and Don Wasson talked all of 14 I want to make it clear that I have no knowledge 15 16 that they ever spoke during the course of the campaign and that I may have misspoke myself in this particular matter. 17 And when you referenced the fact that they had not spoken 18 19 during the campaign, do you mean that post-Mr. Hopkins' 20 contributions -- because, obviously, they spoke prior to that during the campaign. But at what time period are you 21 22 saying they --Well, during -- when I came over, when we have this second 23 Α 24 meeting, they had decided to go ahead with -- at whatever 25 point in time Mr. Hopkins had decided that he was going to

Page 24

be contributing money to the campaign, the chain of command
was that I would call up Mr. Hopkins and get the money from
him, and Don was responsible for the Public Disclosure
requirements and for working with all of the candidates and
making sure that they did what I wanted them to do, what I
felt they needed to do.

So there -- I have no knowledge, from either what Don or Hank ever said to me, that they ever spoke. It was just an impression from the two meetings that I had that they knew each other and that they were friends.

Can you describe for us a little bit about what your

- 11 Q Okay. Is that all for the --
- 12 A That's it.

7

9

10

14

22

23

24

25

13 Q Okay. My turn now. Okay.

experience has been with the actual reporting requirements

for the PDC -- campaign reporting requirements?

In -- when Jolene Unsoeld first started her initiative to

form the Public Disclosure Commission, myself and Mike Lowry

and a number of other good-government activists helped write

the -- run -- run the campaign. And the campaigns that I

have run in the state, I have always had to deal with Public

Disclosure questions from my candidates.

And in the early stages of my career, especially in the 1980s, I think that I knew the law quite well. We had done -- in the last 20 years, we had -- my company has done

Page 25

over 200 legislative races in the state of Washington, and 1 most of them were challengers, and even if they were 3 incumbents, all of them would have questions about the Public Disclosure law.

> In -- starting in, approximately, 1990, my partner did most of the work in Washington State, and I did nearly all of my work either outside of the state of Washington or abroad.

And if your question is: Is my knowledge and expertise about the PDC law? It is limited. I do not know -- I do not consider myself to be an expert in regards to the Public Disclosure law.

Have you ever served as a treasurer on a campaign? 13

> Yes. I'm sure I have. I can't tell you off the top of my head, but I'm sure that I served as a treasurer in a number of initiative races, and, in fact, I served as a treasurer for a -- I believe it was called Washington Friends for Better Education. I think I served as a treasurer on that, and that was the independent campaign expenditure that was made in the Governor's race in 1992 or '94.

Can you just describe for me briefly how you got involved in

Des Moines -- in the Des Moines City Council race in 2001? 22 23 Α Sure. I had just flown in. I had done the presidential 24 race in Poland, and I remember I was jet-lagged, and I was 25 getting up at around two o'clock in the morning. I had just

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 26

spent two and a half months living in Warsaw, and I came home.

And I got -- I received a phone call at, approximately, 7 a.m. in the morning from Don Wasson, and he had told me that he had attempted to hire a political consulting firm to help him in his attempt to become mayor of the city of Des Moines, but up to that point, his efforts had been unsuccessful -- that of the other consulting firms that he had contacted, none of them wanted to take his race.

And I specifically remember talking to the man. He -- I think Don is a republican, although I'm not sure of that, but he told me that he had first called the Madison Group and had asked them for an RF [sic] -- had asked them to represent him, and they, for some reason, either didn't -- either didn't respond to him or turned him down.

I think he had called other political consulting firms, but the Madison Group is the only one that really stands out in my head.

And he called me, and he asked me if I'd be interested in his campaign. That's kind of how it started.

- 21 Q Do you know how he got your name?
- 22 A You know, I have no idea how he got my name.

23 And I receive telephone calls from clients, you know,
24 all over the country, much less all over the world, and I'm
25 in the political resources directory, so -- you know, and if

he had called up the democratic party or he had called up 1 some democratic activists, they may have given him my name, but I'm not sure. In fact, I don't even think that he 3 called -- he called me. I think he called FDR Services. When he first called me up, I don't think he even knew who I 5 was. 6 I want to take you through -- well, because we don't 7 need to repeat what you've said a number of times already. 8 There are just some specific things I wanted to take you 9 through. The first one was your meetings with Hank Hopkins 10 and Don Wasson. The first meeting you had where both you 11 12 and Mr. Wasson were meeting with Mr. Hopkins, can you tell me how that meeting came about? 13 14 Α When I had met with Don Wasson, we had gone through a list of people who -- or organizations or companies that would 15 have a financial interest in supporting candidates for 16 public office for the city of Des Moines. And we went 17 through the list, and we prioritized them. There weren't a 18 lot of people. I think there were maybe 15, 20 people who 19 20 were on this list. 21 And we prioritized the top 10 or 15, and I told Don 22 that he needed to start calling up these people and soliciting money. And he then called me back later on and 23 24 said: I've got two that I think that I would like you to 25 come and make -- help me make a pitch to, because I don't

- 1 really quite know what to say.
- 2 And Don -- one of the meetings that was set up was with
- 3 Hank Hopkins, and Don had set that meeting up.
- 4 Q Do you know who the other group was or person?
- 5 A You know, again, it keeps coming back to this
- 6 Des Moines Marine Association or -- and I know -- I know
- 7 that, at one point in time, that they had made -- they had
- given, I think, Maggie Steenrod a check for \$1,000. It was
- 9 the same group. It was -- I know that, but I can't tell you
- what the official name of the organization was.
- 11 Q And the first meeting you had with Mr. Hopkins, where did
- that happen?
- 13 A I'm sorry. I can't remember. I have no idea where it was.
- 14 O And who was in attendance?
- 15 A It was Hank Hopkins and Don Wasson and myself.
- 16 Q And what kinds of things did you talk about at that first
- 17 meeting?
- 18 A It was basically a sell job to try and get Hank Hopkins to
- 19 financially support candidates. Don did most of the talking
- 20 during the meeting -- talked about the fact that he had, at
- 21 least, three very strong candidates; that he thought that
- they had a great chance of winning the race; that he hired
- 23 me; and that he was serious about winning this race; and he
- 24 was serious about doing whatever it took in order to win the
- 25 election.

- 1 Q Do you recall questions that Mr. Hopkins might have had for
- either Mr. Wasson or for you?
- 3 A I know that Hank asked questions about the candidates, what
- they were like, whether they were open-minded in terms of
- 5 his project.
- I don't really think that we went into very much
- detail, nor, if I remember right, do I think that the
- 8 meeting went more than 30 minutes. I don't think it was a
- 9 very long meeting.
- 10 Q During that first meeting, did you get the impression that
- 11 Mr. Hopkins knew who any of the candidates were?
- 12 A Oh, no. He -- I can tell you he didn't know any of the
- candidates because he had a problem pronouncing Maggie
- 14 Steenrod's name. I mean, he didn't know any of the
- 15 candidates.
- 16 Q Okay. And just for the record, can you identify who -- the
- four candidates that you were asked to meet?
- 18 A The four candidates would be Mike Foote, Maggie Steenrod,
- 19 Richard Benjamin, and Gary Petersen.
- 20 Q During that meeting, did you get any impression or did
- 21 Mr. Hopkins say what experience he may or may not have had
- 22 with political campaigns in the past?
- 23 A I don't think -- that was not part of the discussion at all.
- 24 Q What about -- at that point in time, what was your
- understanding of what Mr. Wasson's experience had been with

- 1 political campaigns in the past?
- 2 A At the first meeting that I had with Don, he had told me
- about -- that he was a City councilman for a number of years
- in Des Moines, that a few years prior, he had run for
- 5 reelection and was defeated, that he learned his lesson
- 6 about how to run a campaign.
- 7 He had told me that he had been reading books about how
- 8 to run campaigns, and that's where he got the idea of hiring
- 9 a political consultant. I think that, you know, he probably
- 10 had above average for most of the local politicians that
- I've met, in terms of campaigns, but he certainly would not
- be able to go into the field of political consulting, you
- 13 know.
- 14 Q Okay. And prior to this first meeting that you had with
- Mr. Hopkins, other than the sort of brainstorming session
- that you and Mr. Wasson had, had you ever heard of
- 17 Mr. Hopkins?
- 18 A You know, I think I was asked that question beforehand, and
- 19 I'm not quite sure if I had ever heard of Hank Hopkins.
- 20 My former wife was a -- was and is a political
- 21 fund-raiser, and Hank Hopkins does contribute money to
- 22 political campaigns, and it would not be unusual if I had
- 23 met Hank at some type of a political gathering or a
- 24 political event, but I did not know Hank Hopkins at all.
- I -- when I walked into the door, I didn't recognize

- him at all. That doesn't mean to say that I haven't -- that
- I may not have met him, but I just -- I don't -- I had no
- friendship or working relationship with him at all.
- 4 Q Had you heard of the Wescott Company --
- 5 A No.

THOMAS F. HUJAR

- 6 O -- or Environmental Materials?
- 7 A I had never heard of any of the companies involved. In
- fact, I didn't even -- I wasn't even sure where Des Moines
- 9 was, honestly.
- 10 Q Okay. So what was the outcome of that first meeting? What
- was sort of the next plan that either you had or -- Well,
- 12 let's just start with that. Did you have an idea of what
- you were going to be doing next, following that first
- meeting between Mr. Wasson and Mr. Hopkins and yourself?
- 15 A Well, I -- during the course of the first meeting, when we
- were making the sell that we had a chance of winning this
- 17 race, you know, Hank made -- Hank asked me the question: Is
- there any way to know for certain if we can win this race --
- if you can win this race or not, and how much money it would
- 20 cost in order to do this?
- 21 And I said: You know, there really is no scientific
- 22 way of guaranteeing this. I said: Most corporate -- I've
- done a lot of surveys for corporations who have asked me the
- 24 very same question, and in almost every instance, the
- results for these type of races come back and show that 65

Page 32

to 70 percent of the electorate is undecided. And I said:

But, you know, if -- that would be one added element to

determining whether the race was viable or not. And that's

when Hank says: Well, you know, we're going out in the

field with a Gogerty poll. And that's where this whole

6 thing evolved from.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

So I talked with him, and I said: You know, I can do the survey for you, and I can probably do it for half the price that Gogerty was going to charge you for it, and it will be totally legal. And what I'll do is that I will just add on four horse race questions for you. And so long as you keep it separate and apart from Don Wasson and the rest of the people, it's entirely legal.

14 Q Why did you -- why did you tell them that? I mean, why did
15 the discussion come up about keeping them separate from Don
16 Wasson's endeavors?

Oh, because I -- because I made it clear to him that if I did it, if I did the survey, that he was -- I would look upon him as an employer, that the two were not -- the two efforts were going to be different. I could not serve two masters. And whatever I did for him was totally separate than what I was going to do for Mr. Wasson. And in order to get this contract, I wanted to make sure that he understood that he would be my client, not Mr. Wasson, if I did this survey.

Page 33

- 1 Q And how did the PDC filing requirements become a part of
- 2 that?
- 3 A That came in later on. That came in, in really the second
- 4 meeting that we had.
- 5 Q Okay. So the first meeting you had with them, you don't
- 6 discuss anything, but sort of the -- the --
- 7 A You know, we might have. You know, I may have said that --
- 8 you know, he may have said to me: Is there any problem in
- 9 my doing this? And I may have told him that: You know, if
- you contribute money later on down the line, you're going to
- 11 need to keep this separate.
- But I don't -- you know, the two meetings kind of
- joined together, and I'm not quite sure what was discussed
- 14 at one and what was discussed at the other, but at some
- point in time that discussion did take place.
- 16 Q And during the -- this discussion you're having, Mr. Wasson
- is in attendance?
- 18 A At the first meeting?
- 19 O Yes.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And hearing all the discussion about the potential separate
- 22 client relationship you would have with Mr. Hopkins?
- 23 A Either at the first one or the second one, but I -- I mean,
- this was, you know, a golden opportunity. I'm walking in
- 25 here, trying to represent this guy who is hardly going to be

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

- paying me any money, but I'm doing it because I have nothing
- else to do. And then Mr. Wasson pops up and says: Well,
- 3 I'm going to be doing a survey, and it looked like I could
- 4 pick up maybe ten, \$12,000 doing a survey, so --
- 5 Q And you mean, Mr. Hopkins?
- 6 A Mr. Hopkins.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A So I became somewhat more animated once I found out that I
- 9 may have gotten another contract.
- 10 Q During the course of that first meeting, did you also -- or
- 11 did you form any impression about whether Mr. Hopkins would
- be contributing money to these campaigns?
- 13 A He had -- he was very interested, and but he made it very
- clear that he had to go back to his investor group, that
- this was not a decision that he could make on his own, that
- he needed to go back and talk to whoever his support group
- was.
- 18 Q Okay. So, again, when you came out of this first meeting,
- what was your understanding what your assignments were, for
- 20 lack of a better term?
- 21 A First of all, Mr. Hopkins was going to find out whether or
- 22 not they were going to do a survey. And if they were going
- to do a survey, were they going to use Bob Gogerty and
- Associates, or would they use me?
- 25 Secondly, I was going to be meeting with candidates,

- and -- over the weekend, and I told them that I would have a
- better impression about how feasible the race was, and that
- I would report back to him -- that Don and I would report
- 4 back to him sometime the following week.
- 5 Q From that meeting, did Mr. Wasson come out with any sort of
- 6 expectation of what he would be doing during that period of
- 7 time?
- 8 A I don't think we discussed anything at that time. We were
- 9 out looking for money.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A That's what we were doing.
- 12 Q So the next thing you do is what, with -- following your
- first meeting with Mr. Hopkins and Mr. Wasson, between the
- first and the second meeting you have with them?
- 15 A Then I believe -- I believe that I did the interviews of all
- of the candidates that weekend. Don and I drove back from
- wherever we had this meeting, and he had told me that he had
- set up meetings with the four candidates on Saturday and
- 19 Sunday.
- 20 Q And did you meet with each of them?
- 21 A I met with each one of them.
- 22 Q And as a result of those meetings, which you've talked about
- a little bit in your other statements, did you draw
- 24 conclusions about the candidacy of each individual?
- 25 A Yeah. I talked -- and I -- after I met with all of them, I

- either called or I met with Don, and I talked with -- and I
- gave him kind of a report of where I thought everybody was.
- 3 Q Did Mr. Wasson attend any of these meetings with you?
- 4 A Did Mr. Wasson?
- 5 Q Mr. Wasson attend the candidate meetings with you?
- 6 A Oh, no. He never attended any of the meetings with me. He
- 7 set -- he called them up, and he set the meeting.
- I had never met any of these people before, and -- you
- 9 know, I'm trying to think -- I think it was Mr. Benjamin I
- met at a Denny's. And I walked in, and I didn't know who he
- 11 was, and -- I mean, it was somewhat comical trying to walk
- around trying to find a candidate for public office without
- 13 knowing who he was.
- But Don had set up the meeting with all of these
- candidates for me. They were -- I think most of them were
- at Denny's, except that Maggie Steenrod wouldn't meet with
- me other than in her office.
- 18 Q And during those initial meetings, and -- if you could go
- through each person, what was their reaction to meeting with
- 20 you?
- 21 A All of them had told me that Don had called them up and had
- 22 set up this meeting, that their understanding was that I was
- a professional consultant that Don had hired to assist them
- in the campaign in any manner that was necessary, and that I
- was meeting with them to kind of do an assessment of their

Page 37 1 races. And, secondly, I brought a brochure -- I brought a 3 portfolio of brochures and direct mailing pieces and, I think, even some radio ads to show to them --4 5 0 And ---- and to give to them, actually. I gave copies, I think, 6 Α to a number of them. 7 And you can just start from the top. What was 9 Mr. Benjamin's reaction to the kind of assistance that Mr. Wasson was going to be providing through you? 10 He was probably the most surprised, because he believed that 11 Α Don had supported the other candidate in the primary and 12 that -- there were two challengers in that race, and Richard 13 14 Benjamin was the surprise winner of that race. And he had expressed to me the fact that he was almost certain that Don 15 was working behind the scenes in support of this candidate, 16 17 and he was very surprised that Don was willing to provide him with assistance. 18 Mr. -- one of Mr. Petersen's -- well, before we go on --19 20 Strike that. Before we go on to Mr. Petersen, did -- from your 21 22 impression, did Mr. Benjamin welcome your assistance? 23 Α Oh, absolutely. And not only did he welcome it, he started calling me up all the time, even before I gave the 24 25 assessment to Mr. Wasson. He was probably the most

253-627-8543

- 1 enthusiastic of them all.
- 2 Q Okay. What about Mr. Petersen?
- 3 A Mr. Petersen was a strange candidate because immediately
- after the primary, he went on vacation for two weeks. I've
- 5 never had a candidate ever do that before, nor -- not only
- did he go on vacation for two weeks, but he failed to file a
- 7 voter pamphlet statement or picture. It was as if he didn't
- 8 care.
- And I'm not quite sure who I talked to about him to get
- some background information about him. I believe that Don
- had set up a meeting with somebody from the Chamber of
- 12 Commerce who was close to Gary Petersen, who came to talk
- with me kind of as a replacement until Gary returned.
- 14 But it was clear to me, just by the very nature that
- this man had left for two weeks and that he didn't even put
- a picture in or a voter pamphlet statement, that he needed a
- 17 significant amount of help.
- 18 Q So when you had these initial meetings with these
- 19 candidates, was Mr. Petersen there for your meeting, or was
- 20 somebody else there?
- 21 A Somebody that Don had talked to had come to represent Gary,
- 22 but Mr. Petersen was gone on vacation when I did the
- interviews.
- 24 Q Do you remember who the person from the Chamber was?
- 25 A You know, I can't. I mean, I could describe who he -- what

- 1 he looked like, but for the life of me, I can't remember who
- 2 he is.
- 3 Q From that meeting, did you get any impression of whether
- 4 Mr. Petersen would welcome your assistance or not?
- 5 A The person who I interviewed thought it was great that
- somebody was coming to help. He liked the direct mail
- 7 pieces that I had shown him. And he, basically, you know,
- 8 agreed with me that, just by being on vacation and all of
- 9 this other stuff, that Gary was going to need as much help
- 10 as he could.
- 11 Q Okay. Mr. Foote. You met with Mr. Foote?
- 12 A I did meet with Mr. Foote.
- 13 Q And what was Mr. Foote's reaction to meeting with you or
- 14 having that arranged?
- 15 A He was clearly the most animated person. He wanted to win
- at any cost and had told me that, you know, he had known
- about my career and some of the campaigns that I had done,
- and that he would do -- he would just about follow anything
- 19 that I asked him to do.
- 20 Q And then, finally, Ms. Steenrod?
- 21 A Ms. Steenrod? She was the most reluctant and the person who
- 22 did not want any of my assistance. We went into this very
- long, philosophical discussion about how people of my ilk
- 24 were destroying the political process, and that she simply
- did not want any of my assistance.

Page 40

And then she made it very clear that she had filed for 1 limited reporting, that she was close to the \$3,500 limit, 3 and that even if she wanted to hire me, she couldn't because of the financial limitations of the reporting. 4 I even tried to give her copies of brochures. wouldn't take it. She wouldn't take a thing from me. 6 Following this -- each of these meetings, did you then 7 report back to Mr. Wasson your initial assessment -- or both 8 9 to Mr. Wasson and Mr. Hopkins? I first met with Don Wasson, or I -- I either met with him, 10 or I talked to him. I think it was a Monday or the Tuesday 11 after I had met -- I told him my impressions. I told him 12 13 that I thought that -- that I thought I could win all four 14 races, but the fourth one would be the most -- the third and the fourth would be the most difficult. But I thought that 15 the candidates were all willing to work hard, they were 16 willing to take direction, and that I thought that we could 17 win. 18 Who -- you, obviously, have ranked them in order in your 19 20 head, the third and the fourth being the most difficult. 21 How did they rank out for you, as to winnable to most difficult? 22 23 Α I thought that Maggie Steenrod was going to win 24 notwithstanding. She was ahead in the primary against an

incumbent. She is one of the smartest women that I've ever

25

Page 41

met. She has a will of steel. And she was doing everything right. And she's a perfect -- as far as I was concerned, she is what I would hope more people are like when they run for those type of offices. She's a dynamite candidate, and it came through to the public. Her whole family was involved in her campaign, and I knew she was going to win with or without our assistance.

I did tell her at the time that I met with her, that at some point in time, the ACC and her opponents would send a negative mailing out, hitting her, and at that point in time, she was going to have to make some hard decisions on how she was going to respond, and that is where I thought I could help her the most.

But, clearly, Maggie Steenrod, I was sure was going to win.

Even though I had not met with Gary Petersen, the reports that I heard from people were very encouraging in terms of his name, identification, and how, in essence, he was beloved by the community. And I thought that that -- that he probably -- he had a difficult race, but he was fortunate in that he was not running against what I thought was a very difficult candidate. And if, in fact, the assessment was correct, about how well known he was, I thought that he would need assistance, but that he could win.

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

Page 42

The third candidate on the line would be Richard Benjamin. He was running against a qualified, classy candidate who I thought -- who, in prior elections, had won by above-average margins and who was running a good campaign. And I do not think that Richard matched up well with him intellectually, ideologically, or in any other way, and I thought that would be a difficult race.

And then Mike Foote, I met -- he clearly was going to be the most difficult candidate. He had a really rough exterior. He had run for public office before, was somewhat known by the community. As soon as I met him, I knew that he would not be well received by the public. And, in fact, if I had provided him with assistance, I would not have had him go out on the campaign stump. I would not have let him campaign. I would have let the direct mailings and other things carry the campaign for him.

- 17 Q And this was the information that you communicated back to
 18 Mr. Wasson?
- 19 A That's correct.
- Q Okay. And how long after that did you meet with Mr. Hopkins and Mr. Wasson again?
- 22 A You know, I think it was like a day or two, because -- you
- have to understand; this was -- this was late September.
- 24 Time was running short. There were only four weeks to --
- 25 four weeks left in the campaign, and it was a very tight

800-507-8273

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Page 43 deadline on when we were going to have to get all this stuff 1 done. If we were going to do mailings or any of these other 3 things, that takes time to get printed and collated and everything else. 4 5 As far as the second -- sort of the logistics of the second meeting, do you remember who set it up? 6 I believe that Don set it up, but I can't tell you that for 7 Α 8 sure. 9 And where was it? I should remember this, but I don't. I don't remember where 10 Α it was. 11 Did this one last a similar amount of time as the first one, 12 or shorter, or longer? 13 I think it was actually a little longer, but it really was 14 not that long of a meeting. It may have been 35, 15 16 40 minutes. I'm sure it was less than an hour. You know, I'm almost certain that it was in Bellevue. 17 I'm almost certain that the meeting was in Bellevue, because 18 19 I remember driving back with Don to Des Moines. 20 Okay. And what transpired at the second meeting with Mr. Hopkins and Mr. Wasson? 21 22 I had told Mr. Hopkins that, with the right amount of money, that we could win the race, that Don was in the process of 23 24 raising money from other sources, but that, you know, we

needed as much money as we could because of the limited

25

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

Page 44

amount of time available to us, and that either he made a decision immediately, or else every day that passed would increase the amount of money that I would need in order to win the races.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

21

I gave him a brief overview of the candidates, and, you know, I told them that I was certain that I -- I was fairly certain that -- I knew that we could win two, and there was a possibility that we could win four, but it really depended upon how much money we had and the breaks of the game.

- 10 Q Did he ask you -- Mr. Hopkins ask you questions during this 11 second meeting about the actual candidates themselves?
- 12 Α You know, no. No. He hardly asked me any questions about 13 the candidates at all. He just wanted to know -- he -- the questions that he asked were actually to Don. It wasn't --14 15 he didn't ask me questions about the candidates. 16 interested in knowing about what their positions were and -you know, and whether -- what their positions were regarding 17 the third runway. You know, he was not interested in the 18 political end of how we got these people elected. He was 19 more interested in learning from them whether they had an 20
- Q Okay. What were the results that came out of that particular meeting?

open mind towards his project.

24 A Number one, Hank said that his group would do the survey, 25 that although they didn't want to do the survey that

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

1

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

Page 45

quickly, that they were going -- they would go ahead with the survey, and he'd like to pop in four questions on the horse race.

Secondly, he said that he would financially contribute to the campaigns, but he could not say how much, I think, at that time. He had asked me how much money I needed, and I told him that I wanted under \$20,000, and he said: I don't think I can do that. But I told him that's how much that I wanted. I thought -- I told him that I thought a lot depended upon how much other money that Don could raise from other groups.

And, you know, as I -- I think I said in my two other interviews, we had a discussion about reporting requirements and the PDC.

15 O In what terms?

16 A Hank was very concerned about contributing under \$20,000, a

17 large amount of money, and the publicity that it would bring

18 to he and his company, and he wanted to be assured that the

19 law was followed and that we were doing everything

20 aboveboard. And it was probably the only time where I ever

21 saw him where he was very determined and he wanted to make

22 sure that he was not doing anything illegal.

23 Q In what way illegal?

24 A I mean, he had no understanding of what he had to do as a -25 as a contributor. For instance, he said: Do I have to

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Page 46

report anything? Do I have to do anything? If I give you the money, what do I have to do? And I said: So long as you contribute less than \$5,000 per candidate, you do not have any financial reporting requirements.

But if you start -- you report anything more than that, or if you're outside of this 20-day period, then you can make contributions. But I said: You do not have to -- as much as I know, you do not have to make a financial disclosure to the Public Disclosure Commission so long as you keep within that limit. I told him that the candidates were the ones who were responsible for making the contributions public, and that, you know, Don was the one who was going to be taking care of that.

- 14 Q What was your understanding of his concern about the 15 publicity for his company?
- MR. VANCE: Let me just object to the form of the question as to the extent it calls for speculation.
- MS. DALTON: Okay.
- MR. VANCE: I would ask that the witness be asked
 what Hank said rather than what his understanding of his
 concerns were.
- 22 Q Go ahead and answer the question.
- 23 A You know, I don't want to make this to appear like it was
 24 more than it was, because it was probably less than a
 25 four-minute discussion -- four- to five-minute discussion

Page 47 1 about the PDC. So, you know, kind of we're putting a microscope on something that wasn't a major part of the discussion. 3 He had just made the comment that he did not want --4 and it was like one sentence: I don't want to get my investors or my company in the public limelight. I want to 6 make sure that whatever we do, we follow the law. 7 That's about all he said. 8 9 Did you explore that comment with him at all at this 0 10 particular meeting? 11 Α No. At that particular meeting, did he make any contributions? 12 No, he did not make any contributions at all. 13 Α Did he commit at that point to making any contributions? 14 He said that he would make a contribution to the campaign, 15 16 although he needed to go back to his investor group and discuss the issue with them. 17 18 And the issue being what? He had to discuss how much, if any, money the group would --19 20 the Wescott Company would be willing to make to the 21 campaign, but at that point in time, he would not commit to 22 making any financial contributions to the campaigns. 23 Do you know if, at that point, he had actually made any Q 24 financial contributions to the campaigns?

I -- you know, at the meeting that I was at, neither

25

Α

- 1 Hank nor Don said anything at all about having received any
- 2 money. I thought this was a blind call and that -- I was
- 3 unaware that there was a thousand-dollar contribution
- 4 already made.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A And I'll add that it wasn't as if they were trying to keep
- it away from me. It just wasn't something that was
- 8 discussed.
- 9 Q At that point, then, you do a survey for Mr. Hopkins?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And Mr. Wasson knows that you're doing a survey?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q But I believe that you've stated he didn't know anything
- about the actual survey itself, the process of it, what it
- said, those kinds of things?
- 16 A He did know, from the first discussion, that there would
- 17 probably be four questions -- horse race questions on there,
- 18 but -- and, secondly, he knew that I was going to be using
- 19 the survey from -- that was taken by the Gogerty Group, and
- I was going to be using a number of those questions in the
- 21 survey, but that's all that he knew.
- 22 Q Okay. Once you -- how long did it take you to complete the
- 23 survey?
- 24 A I'm not quite sure -- if you mean, how long did it take me
- 25 to write the survey, the whole process from --

- 1 Q From approval by Mr. Hopkins to delivery of product to
- 2 Mr. Hopkins took about how long?
- 3 A It probably took a week -- at least a week to ten days.
- 4 You know, you have to understand; I had just returned
- from Poland. I had no other contracts that I was really
- 6 working on. I was as free as a bird, and I had nothing else
- 7 to do other than to write the survey, send it out to the
- 8 McGuire Group.
- 9 While it was going out to the McGuire Group, I was
- working, and they were out in the field. I was doing the
- 11 demographic work, and -- so I think it was seven days. I
- 12 had to get it done quickly, so I worked on it almost
- 13 full-time.
- 14 Q During the period of time that the survey is processing,
- 15 were you doing any other work with Mr. Wasson in the
- 16 community about fund-raising?
- 17 A We had -- I had talked to Don almost every single day. From
- 18 the day that Don hired me throughout the course of the
- 19 entire time, I'm sure I spoke with him, at least, once a
- day, and I'm sure that I had talked to him during this time
- 21 period.
- I may have met with the candidates again one time
- 23 more. In fact, I almost think I had met with Richard
- 24 Benjamin. And I think that sometime during that time
- 25 period, Gary Petersen had just come back into town, and I

March 24, 2003

- 1 had met with him.
- 2 Q But with respect to this list of this 15 or 20 groups or
- 3 individuals for additional fund-raising, were you doing any
- 4 work on additional contributors to these campaigns?
- 5 A I know that I made -- I know that I made at least two phone
- 6 calls on his behalf to names of people that he gave to me
- 7 that he said he felt uncomfortable calling because they had
- 8 something before the City Council.
- 9 Q And he, being Mr. Wasson?
- 10 A Mr. Wasson asked me to make -- that -- you know, I told him
- I was not a fund-raiser, that he needed to make these phone
- calls himself. But there were two phone calls that he felt
- uncomfortable with making, and I volunteered to call those
- 14 two people.
- 15 Q Do you remember who --
- 16 A And -- I'm sorry.
- 17 0 -- that was?
- 18 A I don't remember who they were.
- 19 Q Okay. Any other activity that you can recall doing during
- the time that the survey was being processed?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Survey gets done. What happens next?
- MR. FRUSH: Is this a good place to take a break?
- 24 MS. DALTON: Would you like a break, Mr. Frush?
- Okay. We can go off the record.

Page 51 (Off the record.) 1 MS. DALTON: Would you please read him the last question? 3 (Last question read.) 4 The survey gets done, and I -- Hank Hopkins set up a 5 Α meeting. I finish writing the report, and I made a 6 presentation to Mr. Hopkins and to a number of people, 7 giving them the results of the survey. 8 In terms of the issue that we're interested in today, I 9 told them, basically, that the results of the survey showed 10 that 65 to 70 percent of all the voters were undecided, as I 11 had told them it probably would show; that all the races 12 were up in the air; that, really, the only candidate who was 13 ahead in the survey of the four candidates that we're asking 14 them to support was Maggie Steenrod; but that with 15 16 70 percent undecided, every race was up for grab, which means that, given the right amount of money and the right 17 18 profiling, we -- I could win each and every one of the 19 races. 20 (Ms. Dalton continuing) So when you get done with putting together the information, your report on the survey, 21 22 Mr. Hopkins, you said, set up the meeting? I called up Mr. Hopkins, and I said: It's going to take me 23 Α a few days to get this printed. Do you want me to send over 24 the copies so you can preview it, or do you want me to make 25

800-507-8273

Page 52

a visual presentation? But you need -- we need to get this

meeting done as quickly as possible.

He said that he needed time to see who could attend
this preview meeting. They wanted an oral presentation from
me as well as a written report. And the meeting was set up

- 6 in a day or so later.
- 7 Q Do you recall where the meeting was?
- 8 A It was in Bellevue. It was at this helicopter -- the owner
- 9 of a helicopter company in Bellevue.
- 10 Q Do you recall who was actually at the meeting?
- 11 A There was one female, whose name I think is Kathy
- 12 (phonetically), and there were three or four other
- gentlemen.
- 14 Q So about half --
- 15 A There were four --
- 16 Q -- a dozen people?
- 17 A -- or five people. You know, there were five or six people.
- 18 Q Okay. And you had said previously that you had done a
- 19 slide-show presentation of the results of your survey?
- 20 A They had a -- I don't if it's -- No. It's not a slide
- 21 presentation. It was a -- where you put a clear plastic
- down and -- with an overhead projection.
- 23 And I had this -- what I did was, I showed the results
- by demographic categories of how things had shifted, if any,
- 25 between the last survey and the survey that I had done.

Page 53

1 Q And did you keep that -- whatever you used to make that

- demonstration, the overhead?
- 3 A No. I -- I'm sure I threw it away.
- 4 Q The results of the survey itself -- you said you did a
- 5 written report. Did you pass that out to these individuals
- 6 who were there?
- 7 A I had -- I think I made ten copies, of which I gave -- I
- gave them out to them.
- 9 Q Did you retain a copy?
- 10 A No, I did not.
- 11 Q In one of your previous --
- 12 A Let me clarify that. I may very well have, and I have
- looked for it. I just don't -- I haven't found it.
- 14 I moved my offices. We used to have an office in
- Downtown Seattle, and I've moved it into my home office.
- And if you can imagine, 18 years of political files all in
- one place -- I think I may have kept a copy. I've looked
- for it, and I can't find it.
- 19 Q Okay. In one of your other statements, you made the comment
- that you had assumed something like this would happen, so
- 21 you didn't keep copies of the survey. What did you mean by
- 22 that?
- 23 A I thought that there was a high probability, given the
- 24 strong feelings both sides had towards the SeaTac Airport
- issue, that if, in fact, I was successful and I won all four

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

- 1 races and we took over the City Council, that there would be
- 2 this type of an investigation.
- 3 Q Did you think that at the time you were making the
- 4 presentation to Mr. Hopkins and his investors?
- 5 A Absolutely. Yes.
- 6 The fact of the matter is -- is that, as I did all of
- 7 these interviews with the candidates -- and the more I
- 8 learned about the city of Des Moines -- it is such a
- 9 divided, polarized community -- that I knew that either side
- was going to raise a stink about the results of the
- 11 election.
- 12 Q Did you ever convey that information to Mr. Hopkins or his
- group during this presentation?
- 14 A No, I don't think I did.
- 15 Q Did you ever voice it to Mr. Hopkins post-presentation?
- 16 A I actually think that I told them that at either the first
- or second meeting that I had. I told them, when Hank said
- to me: You know, I want to make sure that this is entirely
- 19 legal -- I said: It needs to be kept legal because of
- the -- what's going to hit the fan if we're successful.
- 21 Q Can you tell me why Mr. Hopkins gave the contributions
- 22 directly to you?
- 23 A Yes. By the time all of the decisions had been made and he
- 24 had decided that he was going to give -- he was going to
- contribute to the campaign, we were maybe three weeks out

from the election -- three to four weeks out from the election.

And I was hoping that he could give me one check and I could just run with it. But because of the way that he was able to get the money -- he called -- he said that he had to go out for a call -- the money just dribbled in. And I would call him up, and I'd say: Hank, I really need this money. I need it now. And I kept prodding him and prodding him. And there was some question in my mind whether all of the money was going to come at all, just because of how slow it was coming.

And I had to do -- the first project I think we did was for some phone calling from McGuire Research, and Hank was supposed to have given us a check, and it just -- and I had to go with the project, and the check was late -- and it was two days late. I had to forward a check of my own money to get the project going.

So it was just a -- there was a very limited amount of time. The money was not coming in as quickly as I wanted it to come in, nor was it coming in a bulk amount. It was dribbling in, and time was just of the essence.

And there was no way that I was going to take the check, go to Des Moines, give it to Don, have Don give me the money back -- it just wasn't feasible in terms of the limited amount of time I had, and that's how that whole

- thing created -- was created.
- 2 Q During the course of the presentation, can you give me --
- 3 were there questions asked by the individuals who attended,
- 4 other than Mr. Hopkins, about the race there?
- 5 A They were -- the people who were there really were not
- 6 interested in the race. I mean, I was somewhat surprised by
- 7 that. They were interested in the differences of people's
- 8 perspective towards the third runway issue. That was,
- 9 basically, the major discussion that took place.

And the thing that surprised them the most was that
there was a significant trend showing that, although -- I
think it was 75 to 80 percent of the people were against the
third runway -- that 65 to 70 percent of the people did not
want any more of their money spent in the fight.

15 And the discussion was, basically, on the third 16 runway. It was not on the campaigns at all.

17 Q Did the -- while you were there, was there any discussion 18 about making contributions to these candidates?

19 A I did briefly talk about the results of the survey about the

20 high undecided, but I -- there was no discussion at all

about the money at all. I didn't know who these people

were. I didn't know if they were the people who were making

the decision, and even if they were the people who were

giving the money, so I thought it was inappropriate to ask

about the money at that time.

- 1 Q What did Mr. Hopkins convey to you about the purpose of this
- presentation?
- 3 A The purpose of the presentation was that they had paid me a
- 4 significant amount of money to do this survey, and they
- 5 wanted -- they wanted me to give a presentation to the
- 6 group. That's what it was.
- 7 Q And just for the record here, the amount of money that they
- paid for the survey was \$29,000?
- 9 A I believe that's what it was.
- 10 Q Okay. Besides the individuals in the room, do you know who
- else may have gotten copies of the results of your survey?
- 12 A Well, once I gave the survey to them, I was going to say
- that was kind of the end of it, but, in fact, it was not the
- end of it. I believe -- now that my memory is coming back,
- I believe that they had another meeting that they asked me
- 16 to come to -- to give another presentation to -- and this
- 17 had some of the old people and some of the new people.
- 18 Q Do you recall when that was, in relationship to the first
- 19 presentation you made?
- 20 A It was the next week, maybe seven to eight days later. And
- there were people who could not make the first meeting that
- 22 wanted to have an overview of the information, because some
- of the results were pretty startling to them.
- 24 O At the second meeting you had, were there any questions
- 25 raised about the issue of contributing to candidates'

- campaigns?
- 2 A No. There was none.
- 3 Q Do you know of anybody else besides Mr. Hopkins and his
- 4 investors, the ones that attended these two meetings, who
- 5 got copies of the results of the survey?
- 6 A I have no knowledge of who those books went to.
- 7 Q Okay. Do you know if Mr. Wasson ever got a copy of the
- 8 survey results?
- 9 A To my knowledge, he never did. I -- he did ask me what the
- results of the survey were, and I told him I couldn't tell
- 11 him that. So that leads me to believe that he never got a
- copy of the survey, but again, I have no personal knowledge
- either way.
- 14 Q Following these two presentations, do you know what, if
- anything, Mr. Hopkins did with the survey results?
- 16 A I have no idea what he did.
- 17 Q During the course of either one of these two presentations
- 18 to Mr. Hopkins and his investors, did the issue of the
- 19 Public Disclosure Commission come up, as far as the
- 20 discussion?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q If I understand the money trail right, with respect -- Well,
- 23 let me ask you this first: Once these presentations are
- over, how do you learn that there's going to be additional
- 25 contributions to these campaigns --

- 1 A Well, there were no --
- 2 Q -- the four races?
- 3 A -- no contributions up to that point other than the money
- 4 that Don Wasson had given me from his own private funds. Up
- to that point, there was no money transferred to me.
- 6 Q And that's from your own knowledge? You wouldn't know if
- 7 Mr. Hopkins had given Mr. Wasson --
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q -- money? Okay. So at that point, when do you and
- 10 Mr. Hopkins have any more communication about contributions
- 11 to candidates?
- 12 A It was either at the end of the first meeting of the
- investor group, where I gave the reports of the survey, or
- it was in between the first and the second meeting by
- 15 telephone.
- And I asked Mr. Hopkins -- that time was of the
- essence, and if they were going to make a contribution, it
- 18 was either now or never.
- 19 Q And what did he say?
- 20 A And he said: We're willing to go with the \$20,000. We're
- willing to contribute the \$20,000.
- 22 Q And at that point, had you asked for \$20,000?
- 23 A I told him that -- at the meeting that I needed less than
- 24 \$20,000.
- 25 Q Okay. Because I --

- 1 A If I could have, I could have -- I would have gotten
- 2 \$19,995, but that isn't what happened.
- 3 Q Because I don't want to run into the same problem here
- 4 that -- with the second --
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q -- calling the contributions amounts --
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q -- what it is. Okay. And can you just tell me how you got
- 9 the monies from him -- physically how you -- how that
- 10 happened?
- 11 A It was -- the first check that he gave to me -- and I'm not
- 12 sure of the amount -- I went to the Bank of America. He
- gave me the check. I'm not sure how I got the check, but
- the first check he gave to me -- I remember very clearly --
- I went to Bank of America, and they said that they were
- 16 putting a five-day hold on it. And it was -- it was
- 17 ridiculous.
- And, you know, we have this complicated problem of my
- not getting the large chunk of money that I thought I was
- going to get and time dwindling down in terms of the
- 21 production of the direct mailing pieces and all, and then
- the bank tells me that they're putting a five-day hold on
- these checks that I'm getting; it couldn't work that way.
- And I called up Hank, and I said: You're going to have
- 25 to give me cashier's checks, and you're -- I need all of the

Page 61 money up front if you can do it, but I can -- if this isn't 1 going to work -- and you may -- you probably shouldn't even 3 contribute any money if you can't get the money to me more quickly than what you're doing. 4 The first check -- I'm assuming from your statement here, the first check didn't come as a cashier check. It came as 6 some other --7 I don't --8 9 -- type of check? -- remember how it came, but I know, specifically, the Bank 10 Α of America -- my bank put a five-day hold on it, and I just 11 went berserk --12 Okay. 13 14 -- because I had already written a check out to, I think, 15 McGuire Research, and I was being strung out for -- for 16 money. 17 Okay. And during this process, was Mr. Wasson aware that, you know, there was this money problem -- money flow 18 problem? 19 20 There is no question that -- because Don had this 21 fixation about buying yard signs and taking newspaper ads 22 out. And he's a very visual person, and he wanted to do it 23 the old way. And I had really told him, after I had done 24 all of the assessments and all of this other stuff,

that yard signs were not the way of doing this campaign.

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

25

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

Page 62

But to try and make him -- in order to have a working relationship with him, I told him that we would buy -- we would have yard signs made, but just not there -- then. And he was myopic about getting his yard signs, and always -- every conversation we had was, he wanted, you know, that one thing rather than looking at the overall, big picture.

We had -- as I told you, I -- Don and I spoke nearly every day together, and we talked about what I was doing for each candidate and what their chances of winning were.

- 10 Q And during that period of time, what exactly -- you know, 11 the first check comes in. You've spent money on McGuire.
- McGuire was used for the advocacy calls?
- 13 A Yes. Yes.
- 14 Q Were there any other actions going on besides the advocacy
 15 calls --
- I called up a number of writers to see what their 16 Α availability was like, and I hired Mike Snyder, who is a --17 he used to work for FDR Services and now is a consultant 18 that does work for me. And I asked Mike if he would come 19 20 with me and meet with all of these candidates, and to come 21 back to me and make a recommendation on what type of pieces 22 he thought we needed to do for them. I had in my own mind 23 what I wanted to do, but Mike and I have this great relationship where we kind of feed off of each other. 24
- So, on the one hand, I had Mike Snyder come in and

- start the process of getting this stuff going. I talked
- with each of the candidates -- all of the candidates,
- 3 telling them what I thought they needed to do in the short
- 4 term on their own without my assistance.
- 5 And I think that's about it. I think that's what was
- 6 going on.
- 7 Q Okay. Let me go back just for a second because I want to
- just see if, during the interim between your statements, if
- 9 anything has changed.
- 10 When you originally were giving your statements to the
- 11 Public Disclosure Commission, you were pretty positive that
- Mr. Wasson had given you \$2,000?
- 13 A I know -- I know he gave me \$2,000. He gave me two checks.
- 14 Q Okay. But no one's ever been able to produce a second
- 15 thousand dollars.
- 16 A I'm almost certain -- you know, the more that I thought
- about it, I believe that the second check was a company
- 18 check.
- 19 Q From whose company?
- 20 A Well, it was not a personal check. And I think, actually,
- 21 in my first deposition, or in one of my surveys, I actually
- 22 said that I thought it was a company check. And there is no
- 23 question in my mind that I received two checks from him,
- 24 each for \$1,000.
- 25 Q One personal --

- Α One of them was a --1
- -- one for him?
- -- personal check from him, and I think -- I'm almost 3
- certain I said in one of my interviews that the other one 4
- was a company check. I'm not sure if it was his company's
- check or if it was another company's check, but I clearly 6
- 7 received two checks from him.
- Okay. Each of the checks that came from Mr. Hopkins, did 8
- 9 they come from him directly to you?
- I believe so, but I can't be certain of that. Again, it was 10 Α
- a very chaotic time of my trying to get all of this work 11
- done and trying to pick up these intermittent checks that 12
- were just very slowly coming in. 13
- 14 To the best of your recollection, did Mr. Wasson have any
- 15 role in moving the checks from Mr. Hopkins to you?
- 16 Α I actually called up Don early, when the first check came in
- late and the bank put a hold on it. I'm sure that I asked 17
- 18 Don to call up Hank and to encourage him to give me the full
- amount of money, not the dribbling money that was coming 19
- 20 In that extent, I did ask Don to help me.
- 21 In terms of picking up the checks, I didn't ask Don to
- 22 do that because he was doing a lot of other things, and I
- 23 thought that was my job.
- 24 Do you know whether Mr. Wasson ever knew exactly how much
- 25 money came from Mr. Hopkins to you?

- 1 A I specifically -- as I was -- when we had -- again, going
- back, when we had this meeting with Don Wasson, Hank
- 3 Hopkins, and myself, I said at the meeting: The way the
- 4 division of authority will be -- that I will produce the
- 5 product, and Don will take care of the PDC work and will be
- 6 responsible for dealing directly with candidates if anybody
- 7 goes south on me.
- 8 Q Meaning --
- 9 A And from --
- 10 0 -- what, in --
- 11 A Meaning that they --
- 12 0 -- in your world?
- 13 A -- they -- meaning that they wouldn't do what I asked them
- 14 to do.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A That I needed him to be the hammer, to make sure that they
- were following the script that we had all agreed to follow.
- 18 Q And you all had agreed to follow, meaning who? Who had
- 19 agreed to follow?
- 20 A Don and I had agreed that he would not make the decision on
- 21 how the money was going to be spent on his own, that it was
- a joint decision between himself and me.
- 23 Q Did -- Mr. Hopkins, also, was aware of the types of
- 24 activities that you were going to be undertaking on behalf
- of these candidates?

- 1 MR. VANCE: Objection to the extent it calls for
- 2 speculation.
- 3 Q Go ahead.
- 4 A He was only involved in this -- in the second meeting in the
- discussion where Don started saying, you know: We're going
- to use this money for yard signs -- and all of this. And I
- 7 said: No, no, no. We're not -- we haven't made the
- 8 decision on how the money is going to be spent. That's
- 9 about the only extent that I can remember of -- where Hank
- 10 would be involved in the discussion of how the money was
- 11 going to be spent.
- 12 Q During your meetings between you and Mr. Wasson and
- Mr. Hopkins, did you talk about -- the first two -- ones you
- had before the survey, did you talk about the issue of
- advocacy calls, you know, that kind of behavior, because it
- had been used in campaigns before?
- 17 A I may have said to him that there are a lot of weapons in a
- 18 political consultant's arsenal, and that you have direct
- mail, you have yard signs, you have advocacy calls. There's
- 20 lots of things that you can do. But if I said anything
- other than that, it would have been very superficial.
- He was not interested in how the job was to be done.
- 23 He just wanted results.
- 24 Q Do you remember how you communicated with Mr. Wasson about
- 25 how much money was being spent for each candidate?

- 1 A When -- initially, we had come up with -- after the second
- meeting, we had discussed that we would spend under \$5,000
- for each candidate, and as time went on, I would give
- him, in almost every instance, but not in every instance --
- but in almost every instance, I would give him a written
- invoice. I would give him a sheet which said, you know:
- 7 These are the amount of monies that are spent on these
- 8 different campaigns, and this is how you're supposed to
- 9 report it.
- 10 But not --
- 11 Q You'd give it to Don?
- 12 A -- not in every instance, because, again, it was very
- chaotic. There were -- we had a very limited amount of
- 14 time.
- But a number of times when we had met, I would give him
- an invoice or a memo -- I won't call it an "invoice" -- a
- memo saying: Don, this is how much money I've received from
- 18 Hopkins, and this is how much money I've spent per
- 19 candidate.
- 20 Q And who were you -- which candidates were you spending on at
- 21 that point?
- 22 A Well, we had -- as I told you, the initial decision was that
- 23 we were going to spend an equal amount of money per
- 24 candidate.
- 25 And along with everything else that was happening, Mike

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

Α

Page 68

Foote had a television report come out that accused him of sexually assaulting a tenant in his apartment building.

And when I -- I had met with Mike Foote, and I had a long discussion with him about it, about how he could handle it. And he -- I had, actually, two meetings with him. And when he refused to do what I asked him to do, I told him he had no shot of winning the race.

And I went back to Don, and I said: Don, write him off. We're going to have to win three out of three. So Mike Foote was taken out of the equation.

Then Maggie Steenrod had told Don and had told me that under no circumstances would she accept any financial contributions from us, nor would she even meet with me. So she was taken off the table.

So the two people who were really left that we had to influence was Gary Petersen and Richard Benjamin, and that's, basically, where we spent the bulk of our money, is on those two candidates.

And you calculated -- you said you calculated \$5,000 per candidate, and you arrived at that calculation even though Ms. Steenrod had told you way -- at the very first time you met her that she didn't want any assistance?

Well, but remember, when we had discussed the issue with

Hank Hopkins was before -- the initial meeting that we had was before I had met with any of the candidates, and then

253-627-8543 800-507-8273

- that's when I told them how we were going to divide this -you know, that we were going to go under the \$4,900.
- And, in fact, even when Maggie Steenrod had told me,

 after the first meeting, that she did not want any money

 from us, Don told me unequivocally that he had a great deal

 of influence over her and that she would, in fact, in time,
- 7 take our financial support.
- 8 Q When did he tell you that, in terms of the time lines?
- 9 A Again, we have the initial meeting with the three of us. We
- have the weekend when I met with candidates. Then we have
- 11 the second meeting. It was clearly after all of my
- interviews with the candidates, and I'm not sure if it was
- prior to or after the second meeting.
- 14 Q And with respect to some of the work you did and,
- 15 specifically, about Maggie Steenrod, in one of your
- statements, you said that, as what you thought, a group came
- out with a mailing anti-Ms. Steenrod. And I think that what
- 18 you said is, they panicked after that mailing. And then in
- reaction to it, you did some advocacy calls on her behalf.
- Who was they? Who panicked?
- 21 A Don Wasson called me up, and he said the ACC sent out a hit
- piece on Maggie. And I called up Maggie, and this was the
- 23 first time that I had talked with her since the initial
- interview meeting. And I called her up, and I just gave her
- a bad time. I said: I told you they were going to do this

- 1 to you.
- 2 And she just -- we had like an hour discussion where
- 3 she just went through the roof about how slimy the attack
- 4 was and all of this other stuff. And she's a delightful
- 5 person to talk to. And then I kind of left it at that.
- And then Don called me up, I think, the next day, and
- 7 he says: She's in trouble, and we need to save her.
- 8 Q When you say, you know, they panicked, who were you
- 9 referring to as "they"?
- 10 A Maggie and Don.
- 11 Q Okay. So she, at that point in your mind, was panicking
- because of this -- the mailing?
- 13 A Don called me up, and he said: They did a hit piece on her,
- and she's in trouble. You know, you may want to call her.
- But however it occurred, I called her up, and I had a
- long discussion with her and was giving her a bad time about
- 17 the hit piece.
- 18 Q At that time, I believe that you stated that Mr. Wasson made
- a determination that advocacy calls would be made on her
- 20 behalf?
- 21 A He called me up, and he said that: I don't think that
- 22 Maggie can survive this hit with us -- without us doing
- 23 something. It was so late in the game that there wasn't any
- 24 way that I could put a mailing together or -- to do anything
- 25 else. The only thing that we could do at that point in time

- was the advocacy calls.
- 2 Q Did you hear from her after those advocacy calls were made?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And what was the nature of the conversation with her,
- 5 assuming it was subsequent to your first --
- 6 A The first time that I had met her, one of the things that I
- 7 suggested that she do was to make advocacy calls on the part
- of her family. She had, I think, three sons and a husband
- 9 who were very involved in their campaign. And because she
- was so close to the financial limit, I said: One of the
- things you can do for free is to have your family make these
- phone calls -- you and your family make the phone calls.
- So she had called me up when we did the advocacy
- calls. It was very close to the election. And she said:
- I've had all these people call me up, telling me that they
- are having all of these phone calls, and we're doing a lot
- of those phone calls, but I know we didn't call some of
- 18 these people up. Are you doing it? And I said: Maggie,
- 19 you need to talk to Don Wasson about this. I'm not going to
- answer any of your questions. He's my employer. You need
- 21 to talk to him.
- 22 Q So you wouldn't even acknowledge that you were doing them?
- 23 A No.
- 24 O How come?
- 25 A Because she wasn't my client.

- 1 Q As far as her communication, then, with Don, do you know
- whether -- that they had any communications?
- 3 A I'm sure they had a conversation. In fact, he had told me
- 4 that she was very angry with him.
- 5 Q Did she then call you to sort of discuss --
- 6 A She did.
- 7 0 -- that --
- 8 A She tried to call me a couple of times. I wouldn't take her
- 9 call.
- 10 Q Was it your understanding that she was going to have to
- report the cost of whatever these calls were?
- 12 A I told Don that that's -- I gave him a memo about the cost
- of those phone calls.
- 14 Q Throughout your statements in the past, you make statements
- about it being Don's job to deal with the PDC filings, and
- you say it in sort of a variety of different ways, but the
- most consistently seems to be you're sort of led to believe
- that he was doing that. Can you tell me how -- that you
- were led to believe that he was doing that?
- 20 A Well, first, at the -- either the first or second meeting
- 21 that we had with Hank Hopkins, Don voluntarily said that he
- 22 was -- that he was handling Gary Petersen's campaign, and
- that he was -- he was handling Richard Benjamin's campaign,
- 24 and that he would take care of -- he would take care to
- ensure that the PDC filings were done correctly.

As the campaign -- I had just assumed from that point 1 on, that since I was not going to be meeting with all of 2 these candidates very regularly, that if I gave these 3 invoices to Don, or when I called him up over the phone, 4 that he was contacting these candidates and telling them how 5 much money they should be reporting on their PDC reports. 6 Did you ever check the filings on the PDC Web site to see? 7 8 Α It was -- everything was moving so quickly, I didn't have a chance to do that. 9 10 Okay. In one of your statements, you made a reference to taking the \$2,000 and dividing it up between each four, and 11 12 each four reporting the \$500, and with regards to Ms. Steenrod, after you had met her, that -- that you said 13 14 it would have to be given to the others. What did you mean 15 by that? When Don first hired me and had told me that he was 16 Α giving -- we agreed to the \$2,000, which would basically 17 include an interview with the candidates and then strategic 18 advice over the telephone. We had agreed to \$2,000 as the 19 fee. And I had told him that he needed to have each 20 21 candidate that I meet with attribute \$500 per person to their PDC reports as political consulting fees. 22 23 0 And then, given your conversation, you then went on to say 24 that --25 And then, at some point in time, when I met with Maggie Α

4

Page 74

- Steenrod and she made it very clear that she was at this \$3,500 limited reporting and that she was right at the top of it, that she would not be -- she would not talk with me
- I called up Don, and I said: Well, she's out of the equation, so you have to divide the \$2,000 up three
- 7 different ways instead of four different ways.

because it would put her over the limit.

- 8 Q So you didn't look at the time that you spent with her in
- 9 the same way that you looked at -- you spent with them --
- 10 A Well, because --
- 11 Q -- the other three?
- 12 A -- I was going to be providing strategic advice to all of
- the candidates over a four-week time period. I met with
- 14 Maggie only once.
- 15 Q One of the other comments that you made in your second --
- the August of 2001 statement is that you had said that
- 17 Mr. Hopkins had more confidence in you than Mr. Wasson.
- 18 What did you mean by that?
- 19 A In the discussion that I referred to before, either the
- first or the second meeting that we had, we, again, briefly
- 21 talked about how the money was going to be spent to assist
- 22 the candidates. And when Don started saying: We're going
- to be using the money for yard signs and rallies and bumper
- strips and buttons and all of these other things, I made it
- very clear to, you know, Hank and to Don that you couldn't

Page 75

- make an assessment of how money was going to be spent until
- 2 you knew what the political landscape was.
- And, you know, I think Hank said at the time to Don:
- 4 You know, Don, you're hiring Tom, who is an expert in these
- types of things. You need to let him make some of these
- decisions. At least, don't do anything without talking to
- 7 him.
- 8 Q Okay. And the other comment that you had attributed to
- 9 Mr. Hopkins was that he was looking for assurances from you?
- 10 A Yes. He said to me at the second meeting: Is there -- you
- 11 know, is there any guarantee that you can win all of these
- races? And, you know, that's when we went into the
- discussion of the polls, that in politics, there are no
- 14 quarantees, but the more money you spend in a campaign, the
- more likely you are to be successful.
- 16 Q Following your presentations to Mr. Hopkins' group, did --
- his investors -- did you ever meet with them or talk to them
- 18 after that -- after the second --
- 19 A I have never met --
- 20 Q -- presentation?
- 21 A -- with them during the course of the campaign at all. I
- 22 never met, nor did I discuss or see any of them.
- 23 Q Okay. I wanted to talk to you a little bit about your
- 24 declaration that you submitted following the PDC
- 25 investigation. Can you tell me how this came about, how

253-627-8543

- this declaration came about?
- 2 A Yes. I was -- I was surprised when I saw in the newspapers
- 3 that Mr. Hopkins was charged with multiple violations by the
- 4 Public Disclosure Commission. And I called him up, and I --
- 5 he was quite angry with me, and --
- 6 Q With -- I'm sorry. With you?
- 7 A He was very angry with me. He had told me that -- that I
- 8 had promised -- I had made a commitment to him that he would
- 9 not get into any trouble if he made these contributions, and
- that now he was in this public -- publicized mess, and that,
- 11 you know, I got him into it.
- 12 Q And how did -- I mean, did he express to you --
- 13 A He --
- 14 0 -- how you --
- 15 A -- you know, I --
- 16 Q -- got him into it?
- 17 A I didn't even know what the charges were. I did not know
- 18 the substance of this at all. And then he started
- 19 explaining it to me, what the charges were. And I said to
- 20 him: Hank, you know, you didn't violate the reporting
- 21 limits. You had no obligation to report anything to the PDC
- 22 because we had made a commitment to you that the money you
- were going to be contributing was going to be under \$5,000
- 24 per candidate. And I said: I will write a declaration on
- your behalf in order to clear the matter up. And I said:

- Even if it causes me pain, I'm more than happy to write this
- 2 for you.
- And that's, basically, how it came about, is that I
- 4 wrote that declaration on my own without any assistance on
- behalf of his attorneys or of him, and I sent a copy over to
- 6 his attorney to look at. And then I typed it up, and I had
- 7 it notarized on the Bainbridge Island Bank that I do
- 8 business with.
- 9 Q Okay. Just -- let's talk about -- you had originally told
- him that, as long as he didn't give anything more than
- \$5,000 to any individual candidate, that there would be no
- reporting requirement for him individually?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q But through the course of this campaign, Mr. Benjamin became
- a recipient of a much larger portion of the money that he
- 16 contributed. That's correct; right?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q Okay. So what actions did you take, as that was happening,
- to advise Mr. Hopkins that that had changed?
- 20 A I never notified Mr. Hopkins because, quite frankly, in the
- 21 flurry of the three weeks of the activities that were going
- on, with the problems that we had in getting the money to do
- the project, I just didn't think about it. I mean, I'll --
- I have to take the blame for this. Neither Don nor I ever
- talked about it, never thought about it. It just happened.

Page 78 1 And you are correct, that -- I believe that that was our responsibility to come back to Mr. Hopkins and tell him 2 that we were going over the limit on the one person, but --3 for the one candidate, but it never happened. I was not 4 focused on the Public Disclosure law because that was 5 something that Don Wasson was doing and I assumed all of the 6 work was done. But, in hindsight, I should have informed 7 Hank Hopkins that we were going over the limit for the one 8 candidate. 9 10 In your statement -- in the declaration statement that you prepared, on paragraph 9 --11 MS. DALTON: Do you guys have a copy of this? 12 13 Okay. MR. VANCE: Could I take -- could I -- could you 14 have a copy made, or could I have a copy? 15 MS. DALTON: Do you have --16 17 THE WITNESS: I don't have a copy. 18 MS. DALTON: Have you written on that one? MS. HARRIS: 19 No. MS. DALTON: Okay. Why don't you just --20 MR. VANCE: Can I share it with the witness? 21 22 MS. DALTON: Did you keep a copy for yourself in --23 24 THE WITNESS: I didn't bring it with me. 25 MS. DALTON: Okay. Okay.

- 1 MR. VANCE: Paragraph 9?
- 2 MS. DALTON: Paragraph -- Yeah. Roman
- 3 Numeral IX.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q At what point do you believe that Mr. Wasson was aware that
- 6 they -- you were all going over the \$5,000 limit for
- 7 Mr. Benjamin?
- 8 A Well, we both knew that we were spending a lot of money on
- 9 Richard Benjamin's campaign. It was maybe halfway through
- 10 the effort. It was just the set of circumstances with
- Maggie Steenrod pulling out, with Mike Foote, with Don's and
- my assessment that Mike should not receive any money at
- all -- all of these things just kind of stockpiled up.
- And we did have the finances, and I was very concerned
- about Richard being able to win the race. You need to
- 16 understand that I was not hired to win one or two. I was
- 17 hired to win all three. And it was clear to me that Maggie
- 18 Steenrod was going to win and that Gary Petersen would win.
- 19 It was Richard Benjamin who was the weak link. And I knew
- that we had to put a disproportionate amount of money into
- 21 his campaign, but I did not intellectually think that we
- were going over the limit and that that had a PDC
- implication. It just never dawned on me during the course
- of the three-week campaign that -- that going over the limit
- 25 had a PDC implication. I just didn't -- it didn't ring the

- 1 bell.
- 2 Q And then on paragraph 10, the last sentence, talking about
- 3 the cashier's checks being anonymous -- in the previous
- 4 statements that you had made to the PDC staff, you had said
- 5 that the first time you really even saw that, was during
- 6 their --
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q -- questioning you about it, but here you say that it was
- 9 marked that way at your request and not part of any
- 10 effort --
- 11 A I -- Let me clarify. There was one check that I was -- and
- it may have very well have been the first check -- that I
- was going to send the check directly to McGuire Research. I
- think I -- actually, I had testified to that effect.
- 15 And whenever -- I do not want any of my vendors to know
- 16 who the work was being done by. It's just a policy that I
- 17 use for all of my candidates and my clients.
- 18 So the cashier's check -- I told him offhandedly: Put
- it anonymous. But I only said that to him in one instance,
- and I think he just started doing it on and off.
- 21 But I was the one who had told him in the first
- instance for the first check because I was going to be
- sending that check directly to McGuire Resources -- to
- 24 McGuire Research.
- 25 Q And that's the one that came as somebody's company check,

- not as a cashier's check, that first check?
- 2 A Whatever it was. I can't tell you what it was.
- 3 The thing is -- is that -- I remember now. Bob Gogerty
- 4 uses McGuire Research to do the work, and I didn't want
- 5 Gogerty to know that I was doing this -- that I had taken
- 6 this job away from him.
- 7 So it was a combination of not wanting Gogerty to know
- 8 that I had stolen this project away from him and, secondly,
- 9 the fact that I really didn't want McGuire or anybody else
- 10 to know who was behind the project. It had nothing to do
- with the Public Disclosure or anybody else. It was that I
- didn't want my vendors or my competition to know that I was
- doing this project.
- 14 Q Okay.
- MS. DALTON: Could we just go off the record for a
- second?
- 17 (Off the record.)
- 18 MS. DALTON: We're back on the record.
- 19 Q (Ms. Dalton continuing) I'd like to clarify one issue. With
- respect to the information you were providing Mr. Wasson,
- these memos, about, you know, what was being attributed to
- what candidate, what was your understanding of how those
- would then be reported, who the money would be reported from
- on the candidates' forms?
- 25 A Well, again, I will go back to what I testified before.

Page 82

Most of the money was being spent on Richard Benjamin's and Gary Petersen's campaign. Maggie Steenrod was completely out of the equation, and Mike Foote was eliminated at the very outset. So we're really only dealing with two races.

From the very outset, one, because Gary Petersen was on vacation for two weeks and really acted as if he didn't care whether he won or lost and, secondly, because Richard Benjamin had no idea how to run a campaign or what to do, it was clear to me that the bulk of our time and the bulk of Don's time was to work on those two candidates. It was very clear in the invoices that I gave, that -- at least, early on, that the contributions were to those two candidates.

And I specifically remember Don telling me in a number of instances that (a) Richard Benjamin was having problems with his PDC report and Don couldn't meet with me because he was -- or couldn't talk to me because he was rushing over there to take care of some problem, and (b) that Don was talking to Gary Petersen's treasurer because she had questions and didn't know how to do different things.

There was always these conversations about Don being involved in the PDC process for those two candidates -- that I simply assumed that he was overlooking [sic] the entire process.

That was not true for Maggie Steenrod, but we were not going to spend any money on Maggie Steenrod until the

- negative mailing hit. And Don called me up, I think, in the
- 2 last week of the campaign, and we spent money for phone bank
- 3 operation for her.
- 4 Q And maybe I just wasn't clear enough. How -- who was going
- 5 to be reported on these reports as making these
- 6 contributions? I mean, how was what you were doing and the
- 7 money you were --
- 8 A I just had a memo to Don Wasson from Tom Hujar: Here are
- 9 the expenditures made on behalf of the following
- 10 candidates. McGuire Research, advocacy calls, Richard -- or
- 11 I'd have Richard Benjamin, one, advocacy call -- McGuire
- Research, advocacy calls, the amount -- and I think I did
- 13 almost on a weekly basis.
- 14 Q So you -- was it your expectation that that information
- 15 would show up on their reports as McGuire and --
- 16 A Absolutely.
- 17 Q -- Snyder and -- so there would be no link between
- Mr. Hopkins putting the money in and then it going to these
- 19 companies?
- 20 A I understand what you are saying.
- MR. VANCE: I'm sorry. Objection as to form.
- 22 A I understand what you're saying. What I was supposed to do
- was to tell him how the money was going to -- how much money
- 24 was spent for each candidate.
- 25 Q And to what company?

Page 84 And to what -- like to McGuire Research or to Mike Snyder 1 Α or -- or what -- or --Or to you? 3 -- or me or to -- Yeah. Whatever the expenditures were, I 4 5 wrote down on the e-mail how much should be attributed to each candidate, and I gave it to Don. And I simply assumed 6 that the contributions were going to be disclosed 7 correctly. I didn't think about the issue that you just 9 raised, which was: How was Mr. Hopkins' contribution going 10 to be reported? I never gave thought to that. I gave -you know, that was Don's job. 11 And how did you expect Don to do it? 12 0 13 Α Well, he never asked me, quite frankly. I just assumed that 14 he knew what he was doing. 15 0 Okay. Thank you. 16 MS. DALTON: You had a couple clarifying 17 questions? 18 MR. VANCE: Yes. Just very briefly. 19 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. VANCE: Mr. Hujar, Mr. Hopkins called you up, and you indicate that 22 Q 23 you offered to write a declaration to clarify the record? That's correct. 24 Α 25 0 And there had been no involvement with his attorney up to

Page 85 1 that point; am I correct? 2 That's correct. Α 3 Subsequently, you and I did meet; am I right? I thought I talked to you over the phone, sent over the 4 Α 5 affidavit to you, and then I met with you. And then I got it -- I took it back to my house, and I notarized it. I 6 7 thought that was the process we used. But do -- you do recall meeting with me? 8 9 Α Yes. I --10 And you do recall me interviewing you? 11 Α I'm not sure if that was before or after the affidavit, but 12 that's correct. 13 And at some point, do you recall me sending you a draft 14 declaration for your review and consideration? 15 Α Yes. And I told you that I wanted to write my own 16 declaration. I didn't want to use your declaration at all. 17 And did you ultimately submit a declaration that you felt Q 18 was consistent with the facts as you recall them? 19 Α In my words, yes. 20 Did you feel, at any time, pressured by me? 21 Α No. 22 MR. VANCE: Thank you. 111 23 111 24 25 ///

		Page 86
1		FURTHER EXAMINATION
2	BY M	S. DALTON:
3	Q	Before we go off the record, the documents that we had sent
4		to you included a subpoena for phone records. Were you able
5		to pull those together?
6	A	I haven't you know, I don't keep my phone records. I've
7		made a request to Verizon and to US West, and it takes a
8		week to get them. And I will I mean, I just don't keep
9		my cell records for a year back.
10	Q	Okay.
11		(Deposition concluded.)
12		(Signature reserved.)
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
CHANGE AND SIGNATURE SHEET
 1
               I, the undersigned, THOMAS F. HUJAR, do hereby certify
 2
     that I have read the foregoing deposition and that, to the best
     of my knowledge, said deposition is true and accurate, with the
     exception of the following corrections listed below:
 4
 5
     PAGE
                                         CHANGE
               LINE
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
     Date
                            Signature
21
     Case name: In Re: Enforcement Hearing for Wasson, et al
22
     Venue/Cause No.: Public Disclosure Commission, Olympia,
                        02-296 & 03-153
23
     Deposition of: THOMAS F. HUJAR
     Date taken: March 24, 2003
24
     Trial/Arb. date:
     Pamela J. Bentley, CCR
25
     License No.: BENTLPJD36PF
```

```
1
                               CERTIFICATE
     STATE OF WASHINGTON
 2
                           ) ss.
     COUNTY OF PIERCE
 3
               I, PAMELA J. BENTLEY, a Certified Court Reporter and
     Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
 5
     certify that I reported in machine shorthand the deposition of
 6
     THOMAS F. HUJAR, called as a witness at the instance of the
 7
     Public Disclosure Commission, for purposes of discovery in the
 8
 9
     above-entitled cause; that the said witness was duly sworn by me;
10
     that the reading and subscribing of the completed deposition by
11
     the witness was reserved; that the foregoing transcript was
     prepared under my personal supervision and constitutes a true
12
     record of the testimony of the said witness.
13
               I further certify that I am not an attorney or counsel
14
     of any parties, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or
15
     counsel connected with the action, nor financially interested in
16
     the action.
17
18
               WITNESS my hand and seal in University Place, County of
     Pierce, State of Washington, this 7th day of April, 2003.
19
20
21
22
23
                               Pamela J. Bentley, Notary Public in
                               and for the State of Washington,
24
                               residing at University Place.
25
                              My commission expires 3/29/05.
```